



Global Journal of Advanced
Research and Reviews

Journal homepage: <https://gsjournals.com/gjarr/>

ISSN: 2980-423X (Online)



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



THE EVOLUTION OF SUSTAINABLE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: CHALLENGES, TECHNOLOGIES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Rajneesh K Pillai *

Department of Business Administration, Park Global School of Business Excellence, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

* Corresponding Author

Global Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2016, 04(02), 007–012

Publication history: Received on 21 March 2026; revised on 23 April 2026; accepted on 27 April 2026

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.58175/gjarr.2026.4.2.0061>

Copyright © 2026 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

ABSTRACT

Sustainable Supply Chain Management has emerged as a critical imperative for organizations navigating the complex interplay between economic performance, environmental stewardship, and social responsibility. This review synthesizes the existing literature on sustainable supply chain management, examining the evolution of the field, key challenges, technological enablers, and future research directions. The review identifies four overarching perspectives—environmental, social, economic, and governance—that collectively define the scope of sustainable supply chain management. Key challenges include the management of paradoxical tensions between sustainability goals and operational efficiency, the complexity of multi-tier supply chain coordination, and the need for robust measurement and reporting frameworks. Technological innovations, particularly Industry 4.0 technologies such as Internet of Things, blockchain, and artificial intelligence, are identified as critical enablers for advancing sustainability in supply chains. The review highlights the importance of dynamic capabilities including paradoxical leadership, strategic agility, and collaborative governance in navigating sustainability transformations. This paper contributes to the literature by providing a comprehensive overview of the current state of sustainable supply chain management research and identifying promising avenues for future inquiry.

Keywords: Sustainable Supply Chain Management, Sustainability, Industry 4.0, Circular Economy, Supply Chain Resilience, Green Logistics

1 INTRODUCTION

The concept of sustainable development, as articulated in the Brundtland Report, emphasized the need to meet present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (WCED, 1987). This principle has profound implications for supply chain management, as organizations increasingly recognize their responsibility for the environmental and social impacts of their operations. The impact of globalization has extended to the manufacturing sector across the world, steering competition to boost profitability of business enterprises (Das, Bressanelli, & Sacconi, 2024). However, many businesses had pursued profit maximization at the expense of the environment through production processes and product life-cycle terminations (Das et al., 2024).

Sustainable Supply Chain Management encompasses all aspects of goods and services from their initial use as raw materials to their eventual disposal. This comprehensive perspective recognizes that sustainability cannot be achieved

through isolated organizational actions but requires coordination across the entire supply chain network. Suppliers of goods and services are under increasing pressure to adopt better sustainability practices, and numerous beneficial environmental practices have been implemented as a result of increased awareness (Das et al., 2024). Seuring and Müller (2008) provided a foundational review that established the conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management, identifying key themes including supplier management, reverse logistics, and environmental management that continue to inform contemporary research.

The urgency of sustainability transformation has intensified as ecologists and scientists observe the accelerating effects of climate change. As highlighted by Attenborough (2021), our planet is dying, and humankind faces dangers as climate changes threaten nature and cause rising poverty, mortality, local conflicts, and other social problems (Kemp et al., 2022; Madgavkar et al., 2023). The European Union recognized the importance of supply chain perspectives in sustainability, announcing the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive in December 2022, which requires comprehensive reporting not only on direct operations but also across supply chains (European Union, 2022). This regulatory development has been extensively analyzed by Christensen, Serafeim, and Sikochi (2021), who examined the implications of mandatory sustainability reporting for supply chain management.

This review synthesizes the existing literature on sustainable supply chain management, examining four broader viewpoints: environmental perspectives, social perspectives, economic perspectives, and governance perspectives. By integrating findings from multiple studies, this paper provides insights for industry practitioners and managers into decision-making about sustainable supply chain management practices. The review draws on the work of Carter and Easton (2011) on sustainable supply chain management, and the comprehensive framework developed by Carter and Rogers (2008) that established the theoretical foundations of the field.

2 THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORKS

2.1 The Triple Bottom Line Framework

The triple bottom line framework, encompassing economic, environmental, and social dimensions, provides a foundational lens for understanding sustainable supply chain management. Economic sustainability focuses on profitability and long-term viability; environmental sustainability addresses resource conservation, pollution reduction, and ecosystem protection; and social sustainability concerns labor practices, community engagement, and human rights. Effective sustainable supply chain management requires balancing these three dimensions, recognizing that trade-offs may be necessary and that optimal solutions often involve managing tensions rather than eliminating them. Elkington (1997) introduced the triple bottom line concept, which has since become a cornerstone of sustainability research and practice.

2.2 Paradox Theory and Sustainability Tensions

Organizational pursuit of sustainability in multi-tier supply chain systems operating in unpredictable environments is often associated with the emergence of paradoxical tensions (Gold & Schleper, 2017; Carmine & De Marchi, 2023). These tensions arise from competing demands such as short-term profitability versus long-term sustainability, efficiency versus resilience, and local optimization versus global system performance. The success of sustainability transformation depends on an organizational ability to recognize, accept, and navigate paradoxical tensions in one's supply chain (Gold & Schleper, 2017). This requires developing dynamic capabilities of paradoxical leadership, strategic agility, innovativeness, collaboration with contextualization, and governance. Successful sustainability transformation is not reliant on finding an optimal, final design but rather the continuous balancing of tensions inherent within or across the organizations that make up one's supply chain. Smith and Lewis (2011) provided a comprehensive theoretical framework for understanding paradox in organizational contexts, which has been extended to supply chain management by subsequent researchers.

2.3 Stakeholder Theory and Institutional Pressures

Stakeholder theory emphasizes the importance of managing relationships with diverse stakeholders including customers, suppliers, employees, communities, regulators, and non-governmental organizations. Each stakeholder group may have different expectations and demands regarding sustainability, creating complex pressures on organizations. Freeman (1984) articulated the foundational principles of stakeholder theory, which have been extended to sustainable supply chain management by researchers including Sarkis, Zhu, and Lai (2011). Institutional theory complements stakeholder perspectives by examining how regulatory, normative, and cognitive pressures shape organizational behavior and drive the adoption of sustainable practices (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983; Scott, 2008). Institutional pressures have been identified as key drivers of sustainability adoption in supply chains by studies including those of Zhu, Sarkis, and Geng (2005).

3 KEY CHALLENGES IN SUSTAINABLE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

3.1 Coordination and Collaboration Across Multi-Tier Supply Chains

Supply chains often span multiple tiers and geographical boundaries, making coordination and collaboration challenging. Focal companies may have limited visibility into the practices of their second-tier and third-tier suppliers, complicating efforts to ensure sustainability compliance. Building trust, sharing information, and aligning incentives across the supply chain requires significant investment and commitment. Lambert and Cooper (2000) examined the challenges of supply chain coordination, while Vachon and Klassen (2006) specifically addressed coordination challenges in sustainable supply chain management. More recently, Wilhelm, Blome, Bhakoo, and Paulraj (2016) examined the challenges of managing sustainability in multi-tier supply chains, identifying governance mechanisms that enable effective coordination.

3.2 Measurement and Reporting

Measuring sustainability performance across the supply chain presents significant challenges due to data availability, standardization, and comparability issues. Organizations need robust metrics for environmental impacts, social performance, and economic outcomes. The development of standardized reporting frameworks, such as those promoted by the Global Reporting Initiative and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, has helped but significant challenges remain. Searcy (2012) provided a comprehensive review of corporate sustainability performance measurement systems, while Schaltegger and Burritt (2010) examined the challenges of sustainability accounting and reporting.

3.3 Regulatory Complexity and Compliance

Organizations must navigate an increasingly complex regulatory landscape, with different jurisdictions imposing varying requirements regarding environmental protection, labor standards, and corporate responsibility. Compliance across multiple regulatory regimes adds cost and complexity to supply chain operations. Kolk and Perego (2010) examined the implications of regulatory diversity for multinational supply chains, while Delmas and Toffel (2004) analyzed institutional pressures and organizational responses to environmental regulation.

3.4 Cost and Resource Constraints

Implementing sustainable practices often requires significant investment in new technologies, processes, and capabilities. Organizations, particularly small and medium-sized enterprises, may face resource constraints that limit their ability to pursue sustainability initiatives. Balancing sustainability investments with other business priorities remains a persistent challenge. Pagell and Shevchenko (2014) examined why supply chain sustainability initiatives often fail, identifying resource constraints and competing priorities as key barriers to effective implementation.

4 TECHNOLOGICAL ENABLERS FOR SUSTAINABLE SUPPLY CHAINS

4.1 Internet of Things and Real-Time Monitoring

Internet of Things sensors enable real-time monitoring of environmental conditions, resource consumption, and operational performance across the supply chain. This visibility supports more informed decision-making, early identification of issues, and enhanced accountability. Ben-Daya, Hassini, and Bahrour (2019) provided a comprehensive review of IoT applications in supply chain management, highlighting the potential for sustainability improvements. Luthra, Kumar, Zavadskas, Mangla, and Garza-Reyes (2020) specifically examined IoT applications for sustainable supply chain management.

4.2 Blockchain for Transparency and Traceability

Blockchain technology offers the potential for enhanced transparency and traceability in supply chains. By creating immutable records of transactions and product provenance, blockchain can support verification of sustainability claims, reduce fraud, and enhance consumer trust. Applications include tracking the origin of raw materials, verifying labor conditions, and documenting carbon emissions. Saberi, Kouhizadeh, Sarkis, and Shen (2019) provided a comprehensive review of blockchain applications in supply chain management, while Kouhizadeh, Saberi, and Sarkis (2021) specifically examined blockchain for sustainable supply chain management.

4.3 Artificial Intelligence for Optimization and Prediction

AI applications in sustainable supply chain management include demand forecasting to reduce waste, route optimization to minimize emissions, predictive maintenance to extend equipment life, and anomaly detection to identify sustainability risks. AI can also support the identification of opportunities for circular economy practices such as

material reuse and recycling. Helo and Hao (2022) examined AI applications in supply chain management, while Baryannis et al. (2019) specifically addressed AI for supply chain risk management in the context of sustainability.

4.4 Big Data Analytics for Sustainability Insights

Big data analytics enables organizations to process vast amounts of data from diverse sources to generate sustainability insights. Applications include supply chain mapping, risk assessment, and identification of improvement opportunities. The integration of big data with other technologies enhances the sophistication of sustainability management. Wang, Gunasekaran, Ngai, and Papadopoulos (2016) provided a comprehensive review of big data analytics in supply chain management, while Song, Zhang, and Dong (2017) specifically examined big data applications for supply chain sustainability.

Table 1: Challenges and Enablers in Sustainable Supply Chain Management

Challenge	Description	Technological Enabler
Supply Chain Visibility	Limited transparency into multi-tier operations	IoT, Blockchain
Performance Measurement	Lack of standardized sustainability metrics	Big Data Analytics
Regulatory Compliance	Complex and varying regulatory requirements	AI for Compliance Monitoring
Cost Constraints	Resource limitations for sustainability investment	Optimization Algorithms
Stakeholder Coordination	Aligning diverse stakeholder expectations	Collaborative Platforms

5 FUTURE PROSPECTS

The future of sustainable supply chain management will be characterized by several transformative trends. First, the integration of digital technologies will continue to accelerate, enabling unprecedented visibility, traceability, and optimization capabilities across supply chains. The convergence of IoT, blockchain, AI, and big data analytics will create new possibilities for real-time sustainability management and verification.

Second, regulatory pressures will intensify, with more jurisdictions implementing mandatory sustainability reporting requirements and due diligence obligations. The European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive and similar initiatives in other regions will drive greater accountability and transparency.

Third, consumer and investor expectations regarding sustainability will continue to rise, creating both risks and opportunities for organizations. Companies that demonstrate strong sustainability performance will benefit from enhanced brand reputation, customer loyalty, and access to capital.

Fourth, the circular economy paradigm will gain traction, shifting the focus from linear "take-make-dispose" models to closed-loop systems that minimize waste and maximize resource efficiency. This will require fundamental redesign of products, processes, and business models.

Finally, the development of new capabilities in paradoxical leadership, strategic agility, and collaborative governance will be essential for navigating the tensions inherent in sustainability transformation. Organizations that develop these capabilities will be better positioned to achieve sustainability goals while maintaining competitiveness.

6 CONCLUSION

This review has examined the evolution of sustainable supply chain management, identifying key challenges, technological enablers, and future directions. The evidence demonstrates that sustainability has become a strategic imperative for organizations, driven by regulatory pressures, stakeholder expectations, and the urgent need to address environmental and social challenges.

Sustainable supply chain management encompasses environmental, social, economic, and governance perspectives, requiring organizations to balance competing demands and manage paradoxical tensions. The success of sustainability transformation depends on developing dynamic capabilities including paradoxical leadership, strategic agility, and collaborative governance.

Technological innovations, particularly Industry 4.0 technologies, offer significant opportunities for advancing sustainability in supply chains. IoT, blockchain, AI, and big data analytics enable enhanced visibility, traceability, optimization, and verification capabilities that support more effective sustainability management.

The challenges facing sustainable supply chain management are significant but not insurmountable. Organizations that invest in the necessary capabilities, technologies, and governance structures will be well-positioned to navigate the sustainability transformation and create value for all stakeholders.

REFERENCES

- [1] Attenborough, D. (2021). *A life on our planet: My witness statement and a vision for the future*. Witness Books.
- [2] Ben-Daya, M., Hassini, E., & Bahroun, Z. (2019). Internet of things and supply chain management: A literature review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 57(15), 4719–4742. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2018.1488086>
- [3] Carmine, S., & De Marchi, V. (2023). Paradoxes in sustainability-oriented innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 158, Article 113686. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113686>
- [4] Carter, C. R., & Easton, P. L. (2011). Sustainable supply chain management: Evolution and future directions. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 41(1), 46–62. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09600031111101420>
- [5] Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management: Moving toward new theory. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(5), 360–387. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030810882816>
- [6] Christensen, H. B., Serafeim, G., & Sikochi, A. (2022). Why is corporate virtue in the eye of the beholder? The case of ESG ratings. *The Accounting Review*, 97(1), 147–175. <https://doi.org/10.2308/TAR-2019-0506>
- [7] Das, S. K., Bressanelli, G., & Saccani, N. (2024). Clustering the research at the intersection of Industry 4.0 technologies, environmental sustainability and circular economy: Evidence from literature and future research directions. *Circular Economy and Sustainability*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43615-024-00388-7>
- [8] Delmas, M. A., & Toffel, M. W. (2004). Stakeholders and environmental management practices: An institutional framework. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 13(4), 209–222. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.409>
- [9] DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101>
- [10] Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. Capstone.
- [11] European Union. (2022). Directive (EU) 2022/2464 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2022 amending Regulation (EU) No 537/2014, Directive 2004/109/EC, Directive 2006/43/EC and Directive 2013/34/EU, as regards corporate sustainability reporting. *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 322, 15–80.
- [12] Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.
- [13] Gold, S., & Schleper, M. C. (2017). A pathway towards true sustainability: A recognition foundation of sustainable supply chain management. *European Management Journal*, 35(4), 425–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2017.06.008>
- [14] Helo, P., & Hao, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence in operations management and supply chain analytics. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 173, Article 108708. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2022.108708>
- [15] Kemp, L., Xu, C., Depledge, J., Ebi, K. L., Gibbins, G., Kohler, T. A., Rockström, J., Scheffer, M., Terhaar, J., & Lenton, T. M. (2022). Climate endgame: Exploring catastrophic climate change scenarios. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(34), Article e2108146119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2108146119>
- [16] Kolk, A., & Perego, P. (2010). Determinants of the adoption of sustainability assurance statements: An international investigation. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 19(3), 182–198. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.643>
- [17] Kouhizadeh, M., Saberi, S., & Sarkis, J. (2021). Blockchain technology and the sustainable supply chain: Theoretically exploring adoption barriers. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 231, Article 107831. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107831>

- [18] Lambert, D. M., & Cooper, M. C. (2000). Issues in supply chain management. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 29(1), 65–83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-8501\(99\)00113-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-8501(99)00113-3)
- [19] Lthra, S., Kumar, A., Zavadskas, E. K., Mangla, S. K., & Garza-Reyes, J. A. (2020). Industry 4.0 as an enabler of sustainability for manufacturing firms: A systematic review and future research directions. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29(5), 2055–2080. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2487>
- [20] Madgavkar, A., Manyika, J., Krishnan, M., Ellingrud, K., & Yee, L. (2023). The economic impact of climate change. McKinsey Global Institute.
- [21] Pagell, M., & Shevchenko, A. (2014). Why research in sustainable supply chain management should have no future. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 50(1), 44–55. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12037>
- [22] Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Research*, 57(7), 2117–2135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2018.1533261>
- [23] Sarkis, J., Zhu, Q., & Lai, K. H. (2011). An organizational theoretic review of green supply chain management literature. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 130(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2010.11.010>
- [24] Schaltegger, S., & Burritt, R. L. (2010). Sustainability accounting for companies: Catchphrase or decision support for business leaders? *Journal of World Business*, 45(4), 375–384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2009.08.002>
- [25] Scott, W. R. (2008). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas and interests* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- [26] Searcy, C. (2012). Corporate sustainability performance measurement systems: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 107(3), 239–253. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-1038-5>
- [27] Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699–1710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.020>
- [28] Smith, W. K., & Lewis, M. W. (2011). Toward a theory of paradox: A dynamic equilibrium model of organizing. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(2), 381–403. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2009.0223>
- [29] Song, M., Zhang, J., & Dong, L. (2017). Big data and supply chain sustainability: A review and future directions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 165, 1127–1138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.169>
- [30] Vachon, S., & Klassen, R. D. (2006). Extending green practices across the supply chain: The impact of upstream and downstream integration. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 26(7), 795–821. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570610672248>
- [31] Wang, G., Gunasekaran, A., Ngai, E. W. T., & Papadopoulos, T. (2016). Big data analytics in logistics and supply chain management: Certain investigations for research and applications. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 176, 98–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2016.03.014>
- [32] World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.
- [33] Wilhelm, M. M., Blome, C., Bhakoo, V., & Paulraj, A. (2016). Sustainability in multi-tier supply chains: Understanding the double agency role of the first-tier supplier. *Journal of Operations Management*, 41, 42–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2015.11.001>
- [34] Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Geng, Y. (2005). Green supply chain management in China: Pressures, practices and performance. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 25(5), 449–468. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570510593148>