Global Journal of Research in
Engineering and Technology
Journal homepage: https://gsjournals.com/gjret/
ISSN: 2980-4205 (Online)

(Review Article)

A conceptual model for sustainable cementing operations in offshore wells

Kingsley Onyedikachi Omomo [1,*], Andrew Emuobosa Esiri [2] and Henry Chukwuemeka Olisakwe [3]

[1] *TotalEnergies Limited, Nigeria (c/o Benmaris Limited).*
[2] *Independent Researcher, Houston Texas, USA.*
[3] *Department of Mechanical Engineering, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria.*

Global Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, 2024, 02(02), 020–029

Publication history: Received on 20 August 2024; revis.ed on 01 October 2024; accepted on 04 October 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.58175/gjret.2024.2.2.0029

Abstract

This review paper introduces a conceptual model to enhance the sustainability of cementing operations in offshore wells. Traditional cementing practices, characterized by significant greenhouse gas emissions and compliance challenges, necessitate the development of more environmentally friendly approaches. The proposed model integrates low-carbon cement alternatives, such as geopolymer cements and supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs), with advanced slurry designs that incorporate nanomaterials, biodegradable additives, and recycled materials. This integrated approach reduces the environmental impact and enhances the mechanical properties and durability of cementing operations. The paper provides operational guidelines for implementing this model and discusses future prospects, emphasizing the importance of continued research and collaboration among industry stakeholders. By adopting these innovative practices, the oil and gas industry can achieve significant environmental benefits and ensure compliance with stringent regulatory standards, paving the way for a more sustainable future in offshore drilling.

Keywords: Sustainable cementing; Offshore wells; Low-carbon cements; Advanced slurry designs; Environmental compliance; Nanomaterials

1 Introduction

Cementing operations are a critical component of offshore drilling, playing a vital role in ensuring the structural integrity and safety of oil and gas wells. These operations involve the placement of a cement slurry between the casing and the wellbore, which hardens to form a solid barrier. This barrier prevents the migration of fluids between different subsurface formations and provides mechanical support to the wellbore (Yousuf, Olayiwola, Guo, & Liu, 2021). The cement sheath must maintain its integrity under various conditions, including high pressure and temperature, to avoid catastrophic failures that can lead to environmental disasters and economic losses. The reliability and effectiveness of cementing are therefore paramount for the safe and efficient extraction of hydrocarbons from offshore reservoirs (Jaculli et al., 2022).

Despite the critical importance of cementing operations, traditional cementing practices are associated with significant environmental drawbacks. The production and use of conventional Portland cement, the most common binder in well cementing, contribute substantially to greenhouse gas emissions. The cement industry is responsible for approximately 8% of global carbon dioxide emissions, primarily due to the energy-intensive process of clinker production and the chemical decomposition of limestone. Additionally, the transportation and application of cement in offshore environments further exacerbate the environmental footprint (Kumar, Bera, & Shah, 2022).

Traditional cementing operations also pose risks of contaminating marine ecosystems. Cement slurry that is not adequately contained can seep into the surrounding seawater, affecting marine life and water quality. Moreover, the

* Corresponding author: Kingsley Onyedikachi Omomo

energy-intensive processes involved in drilling and cementing operations contribute to the depletion of natural resources and the generation of pollutants. These environmental impacts highlight the urgent need for more sustainable cementing practices that can mitigate these adverse effects while maintaining operational effectiveness (Allahvirdizadeh, 2020).

The primary objective of this paper is to develop a conceptual model for sustainable cementing operations in offshore wells. This model aims to integrate low-carbon cement alternatives and advanced slurry designs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve environmental compliance. By proposing innovative materials and methods, the model seeks to address the dual challenges of environmental sustainability and operational efficiency in the oil and gas industry. The focus is on providing a theoretical framework that can guide future research and practical implementation of sustainable cementing practices.

The proposed model includes geopolymer cements and supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs), such as fly ash and slag, which offer lower carbon footprints than traditional Portland cement. Additionally, advanced slurry designs incorporating nanomaterials, biodegradable additives, and recycled materials are explored to enhance cementing operations' mechanical properties and durability. These innovations aim to reduce the environmental impact and improve the performance and reliability of the cement sheath under the challenging conditions of offshore wells.

By outlining operational guidelines and best practices for implementing this model, the paper provides a comprehensive approach to achieving sustainable cementing operations. These guidelines cover the selection of materials, slurry formulation, mixing processes, placement techniques, and monitoring systems, ensuring that the proposed model can be effectively applied in real-world scenarios. Furthermore, the paper discusses the future prospects of sustainable cementing, emphasizing the importance of continued research, collaboration, and standardization to drive industry-wide adoption and innovation.

2 Current Challenges and Environmental Impact

2.1 Traditional Cementing Practices

Traditional cementing practices in offshore wells primarily rely on the use of Portland cement. This type of cement is preferred due to its proven effectiveness in providing mechanical support to the casing and ensuring zonal isolation. The process involves mixing the cement with water and various additives to create a slurry, which is then pumped into the wellbore. Once in place, the slurry sets and hardens, forming a solid barrier stabilizing the well structure and preventing fluid migration between different geological formations (Langelo, 2021).

While this method has been the industry standard for decades, it is not without its environmental drawbacks. The production of Portland cement is highly energy-intensive, involving limestone (calcium carbonate) calcination at high temperatures (Chukwuemeka, Oluyemi, Mohammed, & Njuguna, 2023). This process emits significant carbon dioxide (CO_2), contributing to the greenhouse gas emissions associated with cementing operations. Furthermore, the extraction and transportation of raw materials, such as limestone and clay, result in additional environmental degradation, including habitat destruction and increased air pollution (Aslani, Zhang, Manning, Valdez, & Manning, 2022).

In offshore drilling, the logistics of transporting cement and additives to remote locations further exacerbate the environmental impact. The energy consumed in shipping these materials, often over long distances, adds to the overall carbon footprint of cementing operations. Additionally, the disposal of unused or waste cement slurry poses environmental risks, as improper handling can lead to contamination of marine ecosystems (Wood, 2024).

2.2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

The environmental impact of traditional cementing operations is most notably reflected in their greenhouse gas emissions. The production of Portland cement is responsible for approximately 8% of global CO_2 emissions. This significant contribution is primarily due to the chemical decomposition of limestone during the manufacturing process, which releases large quantities of CO_2. Nearly one ton of CO_2 is emitted for every ton of cement produced, making the cement industry one of the largest industrial sources of greenhouse gases (Habert et al., 2020).

Beyond CO_2, other pollutants are also associated with cement production and use. Nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and sulfur oxides (SO_x) are emitted during the combustion of fossil fuels used to heat the kilns (Castañón et al., 2021). These gases contribute to air pollution and can lead to the formation of acid rain, which has detrimental effects on both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. The particulate matter released while grinding and handling cement further exacerbates air quality issues, posing health risks to workers and nearby communities (Achaw & Danso-Boateng, 2021).

In offshore drilling, the setting and hardening of cement slurry in the wellbore also generate emissions. The hydration process of cement involves exothermic reactions that can release minor amounts of CO2 and other gases. While these emissions are relatively small compared to those from cement production, they nonetheless contribute to the overall environmental footprint of cementing operations. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from cementing operations is critical for mitigating climate change and achieving sustainability goals. This necessitates exploring alternative materials and technologies that can lower the carbon intensity of cement production and application (Tao, Rosenbaum, Kutchko, & Massoudi, 2021).

2.3 Regulatory Compliance

The regulatory landscape governing offshore drilling operations has become increasingly stringent, reflecting heightened awareness and concern over environmental protection. Governments and international bodies have implemented various regulations aimed at reducing the environmental impact of drilling activities, including those related to cementing operations (Knol-Kauffman, Solås, & Arbo, 2021). One of the key regulatory frameworks is the Paris Agreement, which mandates significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions to limit global warming to well below 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels. For the oil and gas industry, this translates to adopting practices that minimize CO2 emissions and enhance environmental sustainability (Ezeh, Ogbu, Ikevuje, & George, 2024; Ochulor, Sofoluwe, Ukato, & Jambol, 2024). Compliance with these regulations is not optional; failure to adhere can result in substantial fines, operational delays, and reputational damage. In addition to international agreements, regional and national regulations also impose strict environmental standards on offshore drilling. For instance, the European Union's Emissions Trading System (ETS) sets a cap on the total amount of greenhouse gases that can be emitted by installations covered by the system, including cement production facilities. Companies must hold enough emission allowances to cover their emissions, incentivizing them to adopt cleaner technologies and practices (Acheampong & Kemp, 2022).

In the United States, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) enforces regulations under the Clean Air Act and the Clean Water Act, which include provisions for controlling emissions and discharges from drilling operations. Offshore drilling companies are required to obtain permits that specify the allowable limits for various pollutants, including those from cementing activities. Compliance with these permits involves rigorous monitoring, reporting, and, in some cases, implementing mitigation measures to reduce environmental impact (Brkić & Praks, 2020).

Meeting regulatory compliance challenges necessitates a proactive approach to environmental management. Offshore drilling companies must invest in research and development to identify and implement sustainable cementing practices. This includes exploring low-carbon cement alternatives, optimizing slurry designs, and improving operational efficiencies to reduce emissions and waste. Additionally, companies must engage with regulators, industry groups, and other stakeholders to stay informed about evolving regulations and best practices.

3 Low-Carbon Cement Alternatives

3.1 Innovative Materials

The search for low-carbon cement alternatives is driven by the need to reduce the substantial greenhouse gas emissions associated with traditional Portland cement. Several innovative materials have been developed that offer promising solutions for sustainable cementing operations in offshore wells. Among these alternatives, geopolymer cements and supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) stand out due to their environmental benefits and potential applicability in the oil and gas industry (Antunes et al., 2021).

Geopolymer cements are one of the most promising low-carbon alternatives. Unlike Portland cement derived from limestone and clay, geopolymer cements are produced from industrial byproducts such as fly ash, slag, and metakaolin. These materials undergo a chemical reaction in the presence of an alkaline activator to form a hardened binder. The production process of geopolymer cements emits significantly less CO2 because it does not involve the calcination of limestone, thereby avoiding the release of CO2 inherent in the production of Portland cement (Terán-Cuadrado, Tahir, Nurdiawati, Almarshoud, & Al-Ghamdi, 2024).

Supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) include fly ash, slag, and silica fume. These materials can replace Portland cement in concrete and cement slurries as partial replacements. Fly ash, a byproduct of coal combustion, and slag, a byproduct of steel production, can enhance the durability and workability of the cement mixture while reducing its carbon footprint. Silica fume, another byproduct of silicon and ferrosilicon alloy production, improves the mechanical properties and impermeability of the cement (Nicoara et al., 2020).

Other eco-friendly options include calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA) cements and magnesia-based cements. CSA cements have a lower carbon footprint than Portland cement because they require lower kiln temperatures and shorter curing times. Magnesia-based cements, derived from magnesium oxide, absorb CO_2 during their curing process, effectively acting as carbon sinks (Ahmad et al., 2022).

3.2 Advantages and Disadvantages

While low-carbon cement alternatives offer significant environmental benefits, they also have potential limitations to consider. Geopolymer cements, for instance, boast lower CO_2 emissions and high durability, making them attractive for sustainable construction. However, their production requires a consistent supply of industrial byproducts and an appropriate alkaline activator, which may not be readily available in all regions. Additionally, the long-term performance of geopolymer cements under various environmental conditions is still under study, necessitating further research to ensure their reliability in offshore applications (Jambol, Ukato, Ozowe, & Babayeju, 2024; Ogbu, Ozowe, & Ikevuje, 2024; Ukato, Jambol, Ozowe, & Babayeju, 2024).

SCMs, such as fly ash and slag, provide environmental benefits by recycling industrial waste materials and reducing the need for virgin raw materials. They also improve the mechanical properties and durability of the cement. However, the quality and composition of SCMs can vary significantly depending on their source, leading to potential inconsistencies in the final product. Additionally, these materials' availability depends on the production rates of the industries that generate them, which may limit their widespread adoption (Gupta & Chaudhary, 2022).

CSA cements offer lower carbon emissions and faster setting times, which can be advantageous in time-sensitive offshore drilling operations. However, their production relies on bauxite, a mineral with its own environmental extraction issues. Magnesia-based cements, while acting as carbon sinks, can be more expensive than traditional cements and require careful handling to manage their carbonation process effectively (Bikomeye, Rublee, & Beyer, 2021).

3.3 Case Examples

The application of low-carbon cements has been explored in various industries, providing valuable insights and case examples that can inform their use in offshore wells. For instance, the construction industry has seen successful implementations of fly ash and slag in concrete. The Burj Khalifa in Dubai, one of the world's tallest buildings, incorporated fly ash in its concrete mix to enhance durability and reduce its carbon footprint. This example underscores the potential of SCMs to improve the sustainability of large-scale construction projects (Al, 2022).

Geopolymer cements have been used in the construction of the Brisbane West Wellcamp Airport in Australia. This project utilized geopolymer concrete for its pavements, demonstrating the material's viability in demanding structural applications. The airport's construction showcased the potential of geopolymer cements to reduce greenhouse gas emissions while maintaining performance standards comparable to traditional Portland cement (Nayak, Abhilash, Singh, Kumar, & Kumar, 2022).

In the oil and gas industry, pilot projects have tested the feasibility of using low-carbon cements for well cementing. For instance, a pilot project in Canada evaluated the use of geopolymer cements for wellbore isolation. The project demonstrated that geopolymer cements could achieve the necessary mechanical properties and zonal isolation required for safe drilling operations, highlighting their potential for broader adoption in offshore wells (Aslani et al., 2022).

Another theoretical application of low-carbon cements in offshore wells involves using SCMs to enhance cement slurries' sustainability. By partially replacing Portland cement with fly ash or slag, the carbon footprint of cementing operations can be significantly reduced. This approach not only leverages the environmental benefits of SCMs but also utilizes their enhanced durability and workability to improve the overall performance of the cement slurry (Zhu et al., 2022).

4 Advanced Slurry Designs

4.1 Sustainable Slurry Components

The development of advanced slurry designs focuses on enhancing the sustainability and performance of cementing operations in offshore wells. Traditional cement slurries are composed of Portland cement, water, and various additives that improve their properties. However, the environmental impact of these conventional components necessitates exploring sustainable alternatives. Advanced slurry designs incorporate innovative materials such as nanomaterials, biodegradable additives, and recycled materials to create more eco-friendly and efficient cement slurries (Liu et al., 2021).

Nanomaterials have gained significant attention in recent years due to their unique properties and potential to enhance cement performance. Nanoparticles, such as nanosilica, can be added to cement slurries to improve their mechanical strength, reduce permeability, and increase resistance to chemical attack. Including nanosilica helps refine the cement matrix's microstructure, leading to a denser and more durable cement sheath. This not only enhances the overall integrity of the wellbore but also reduces the likelihood of environmental contamination due to cement failure (Qian et al., 2022).

Biodegradable additives represent another key component of sustainable slurry designs. Traditional additives used in cement slurries, such as retarders, accelerators, and fluid loss agents, often contain synthetic chemicals that can be harmful to the environment. In contrast, biodegradable additives are derived from natural sources and can decompose without leaving toxic residues (Wu et al., 2021). Examples include biopolymers like xanthan gum and guar gum, which can be used as fluid loss control agents. These biodegradable additives provide performance enhancements similar to those of their synthetic counterparts while minimizing the environmental impact (Tabish, Zaheer, & Baqi, 2023).

Recycled materials are also increasingly being used in advanced slurry designs to promote sustainability. Industrial byproducts, such as fly ash, slag, and silica fume, can be incorporated into cement slurries as supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs). These materials reduce the demand for virgin raw materials and help divert waste from landfills. By incorporating recycled materials into cement slurries, the overall carbon footprint of cementing operations can be significantly reduced, contributing to more sustainable offshore drilling practices (Tang, Li, Tam, & Xue, 2020).

4.2 Performance Improvements

Advanced slurry designs not only enhance sustainability but also improve the performance and durability of cementing operations. Incorporating nanomaterials, biodegradable additives, and recycled materials leads to significant improvements in the cement's mechanical properties and long-term stability. Nanomaterials, such as nanosilica, enhance the cement slurry's compressive strength and tensile strength (Zhao et al., 2020). The fine particles fill the voids within the cement matrix, leading to a denser and more homogeneous structure. This results in a stronger cement sheath that can better withstand the stresses and pressures encountered during drilling and production. The improved mechanical properties also reduce the risk of cement failure, which can lead to costly remedial operations and environmental hazards (Saleh & Eskander, 2020).

Biodegradable additives contribute to the performance improvements of cement slurries by enhancing their rheological properties and ensuring proper placement within the wellbore. For example, biopolymers like xanthan gum and guar gum improve the slurry's viscosity and fluid loss control, allowing for more efficient and uniform cement placement (Dahi Taleghani & Santos, 2023). This helps achieve complete zonal isolation and prevents fluid migration between geological formations. Additionally, biodegradable additives can improve the bond strength between the cement and the casing, further enhancing the integrity of the wellbore (Davoodi, Al-Shargabi, Wood, & Rukavishnikov, 2024).

Recycled materials, such as fly ash and slag, reduce the environmental impact of cementing operations and enhance the cement's durability. These materials contribute to the formation of additional calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) gel, which is responsible for the strength and stability of the cement. The presence of SCMs in the cement slurry can improve its resistance to sulfate attack, alkali-silica reaction, and other chemical degradation mechanisms. This leads to a longer service life for the cement sheath and reduces the need for maintenance and repair operations (Da Silva & Andrade, 2022).

4.3 Environmental Benefits

Adopting advanced slurry designs in offshore cementing operations offers substantial environmental benefits, particularly in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and minimizing the overall environmental footprint. The use of nanomaterials, biodegradable additives, and recycled materials contributes to a more sustainable approach to well cementing. Nanomaterials help to reduce the carbon footprint of cementing operations by enhancing the mechanical properties of the cement and reducing the need for additional material. The improved strength and durability of the cement lead to a longer service life and lower frequency of remedial operations, reducing the associated emissions from transportation and equipment usage (Monteiro, Moura, & Soares, 2022).

Biodegradable additives offer significant environmental benefits by minimizing the release of harmful chemicals into the environment. Traditional synthetic additives can persist in the environment and pose risks to marine ecosystems and groundwater quality. In contrast, biodegradable additives decompose naturally and reduce the potential for environmental contamination. This contributes to cleaner and safer offshore drilling operations (Wang et al., 2024).

Recycled materials play a crucial role in reducing greenhouse gas emissions by decreasing the demand for Portland cement production, which is a major source of $CO2$ emissions. The use of SCMs like fly ash and slag not only diverts industrial waste from landfills but also reduces the energy consumption and emissions associated with cement manufacturing. For instance, the production of fly ash requires less energy compared to the production of Portland cement, resulting in lower $CO2$ emissions (Sousa & Bogas, 2021).

Furthermore, advanced slurry designs that incorporate sustainable components can help offshore drilling operations comply with increasingly stringent environmental regulations. By reducing greenhouse gas emissions and minimizing the use of harmful chemicals, these advanced slurries align with regulatory frameworks aimed at protecting the environment and mitigating climate change. This helps companies avoid penalties and operational delays and enhances their reputation as responsible and environmentally conscious operators (Izumi, Iizuka, & Ho, 2021).

5 Conceptual Model for Sustainable Cementing Operations

5.1 Integration of Low-Carbon Cements and Advanced Slurries

The conceptual model for sustainable cementing operations in offshore wells integrates low-carbon cement alternatives with advanced slurry designs to create a comprehensive approach that addresses both environmental and operational challenges. The model leverages the strengths of geopolymer cements, supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs), nanomaterials, biodegradable additives, and recycled materials to formulate a sustainable and high-performance cement slurry.

The integration begins with selecting an appropriate low-carbon cement alternative based on the specific requirements of the offshore well and the environmental conditions. For instance, geopolymer cements or SCMs like fly ash and slag can be chosen for their lower carbon emissions and enhanced durability. These materials provide the foundational binder that significantly reduces the greenhouse gas emissions associated with traditional Portland cement (Ogbu, Iwe, Ozowe, & Ikevuje, 2024; Onita & Ochulor, 2024).

Next, advanced slurry designs are incorporated to enhance the performance and sustainability of the cementing operation. Nanomaterials, such as nanosilica, are added to improve the mechanical strength and reduce the permeability of the cement slurry. Biodegradable additives are used to control the fluid loss and rheological properties of the slurry, ensuring efficient placement and bonding. Recycled materials further contribute to sustainability by reducing waste and the demand for virgin raw materials (Ozowe, Sofoluwe, Ukato, & Jambol, 2024a).

The combination of these innovative components results in a cement slurry that meets the mechanical and durability requirements of offshore wells and aligns with environmental sustainability goals. This integrated approach forms the core of the conceptual model, providing a blueprint for achieving sustainable cementing operations in the oil and gas industry.

5.2 Operational Guidelines

Implementing this conceptual model in offshore wells requires a set of operational guidelines that ensure best practices and adherence to environmental compliance standards. These guidelines include material selection, slurry formulation, mixing, placement, and monitoring.

Begin by evaluating the environmental impact and performance characteristics of available low-carbon cement alternatives and advanced slurry components. Choose materials that provide the best balance between sustainability and operational requirements. Develop a detailed formulation for the cement slurry, incorporating the chosen low-carbon cement, nanomaterials, biodegradable additives, and recycled materials. Ensure that the slurry composition meets the mechanical and chemical performance criteria necessary for the specific offshore well conditions.

Utilize advanced mixing techniques to achieve a homogeneous and consistent slurry. Precise control of the mixing parameters is crucial to ensure the effective integration of nanomaterials and additives, leading to optimal slurry properties. Employ best practices for slurry placement to ensure complete and uniform coverage within the wellbore. This includes using appropriate pumping rates, pressure management, and displacement techniques to achieve effective zonal isolation and bonding.

Implement robust monitoring systems to track the performance of the cement slurry during and after placement. Use real-time data to make adjustments as necessary and ensure that the cementing operation meets environmental and operational objectives. Adhere to all relevant environmental regulations and standards throughout the cementing process. This includes proper handling and disposal of materials, minimizing emissions, and conducting environmental impact assessments (Ozowe, Sofoluwe, Ukato, & Jambol, 2024b; Sofoluwe, Ochulor, Ukato, & Jambol, 2024).

By following these operational guidelines, offshore drilling operations can effectively implement the conceptual model for sustainable cementing, achieving both environmental and performance goals.

5.3 Future Prospects and Recommendations

The future of sustainable cementing operations in offshore wells holds significant promise, driven by ongoing research and technological advancements. Several potential areas for future development can further enhance the sustainability and effectiveness of cementing practices.

Continued research into low-carbon cement alternatives and advanced slurry components is essential. Developing new materials with even lower environmental impacts and superior performance characteristics will further improve the sustainability of cementing operations.

The application of nanotechnology in cement slurries offers considerable potential for performance enhancements. Future research should focus on optimizing the use of nanomaterials to achieve even greater improvements in strength, durability, and resistance to environmental degradation.

The integration of smart technologies into cementing operations can provide real-time monitoring and adaptive control of the cement slurry. Smart cement systems equipped with sensors and data analytics can detect and respond to changes in well conditions, ensuring optimal performance and reducing the risk of failures.

Embracing circular economy principles in cementing operations can further enhance sustainability. This includes maximizing the use of recycled materials, minimizing waste, and exploring opportunities for reusing and repurposing cementitious byproducts. Industry-wide collaboration and the development of standardized guidelines for sustainable cementing practices are crucial. Sharing best practices, research findings, and technological innovations will accelerate the adoption of sustainable solutions across the oil and gas sector.

6 Conclusion

In the pursuit of sustainable offshore drilling, the importance of environmentally friendly cementing operations cannot be overstated. This paper proposed a conceptual model that integrates low-carbon cement alternatives with advanced slurry designs to address both ecological and operational challenges. Given the significant environmental impact of traditional cementing practices, the introduction outlined the necessity for such a model. Current challenges were highlighted, including the substantial greenhouse gas emissions and compliance difficulties associated with conventional methods.

Low-carbon cement alternatives such as geopolymer cements and supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) were explored for their potential to reduce the carbon footprint of cementing operations. The advantages of these materials, alongside the potential limitations, were thoroughly compared. Advanced slurry designs incorporating nanomaterials, biodegradable additives, and recycled materials were discussed, showcasing their ability to enhance mechanical properties, improve durability, and significantly reduce environmental impact.

Operational guidelines were provided to ensure the successful implementation of this sustainable model in offshore wells. These guidelines emphasized the careful selection of materials, precise slurry formulation, efficient mixing processes, and robust monitoring systems to ensure environmental compliance and optimal performance. Finally, the future prospects of sustainable cementing operations were considered, highlighting further research and development areas, such as advanced material science, nanotechnology, and smart cement systems.

The transition to sustainable cementing operations in offshore drilling requires a concerted effort from all industry stakeholders. The adoption of the proposed conceptual model is a crucial step toward reducing the environmental impact of drilling activities. Industry leaders, engineers, and environmental experts must collaborate to implement these innovative practices and invest in the necessary research and development to refine and enhance sustainable cementing technologies.

Stakeholders should prioritize the integration of low-carbon cement alternatives and advanced slurry designs in their operations. This involves adopting new materials and technologies and updating operational protocols to ensure their effective application. Training and educating the workforce on sustainable practices are essential to achieving widespread acceptance and successful implementation.

Investment in ongoing research is critical to advancing the field of sustainable cementing operations. Funding should be directed towards developing new low-carbon materials, optimizing slurry formulations, and exploring the potential of nanotechnology and smart systems. Collaborative efforts among academic institutions, industry players, and governmental bodies can drive innovation and accelerate the adoption of sustainable solutions. Furthermore, regulatory bodies play a significant role in encouraging sustainable practices by establishing and enforcing stringent environmental standards. Policies incentivizing eco-friendly materials and technologies can drive industry-wide changes and ensure compliance with global environmental goals.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Achaw, O.-W., & Danso-Boateng, E. (2021). Cement and clay products technology. In *Chemical and Process Industries: With Examples of Industries in Ghana* (pp. 135-170): Springer.

[2] Acheampong, T., & Kemp, A. G. (2022). Health, safety and environmental (HSE) regulation and outcomes in the offshore oil and gas industry: Performance review of trends in the United Kingdom Continental Shelf. *Safety Science, 148*, 105634.

[3] Ahmad, J., Abid, S. R., Arbili, M. M., Majdi, A., Hakamy, A., & Deifalla, A. F. (2022). A review on sustainable concrete with the partially substitutions of silica fume as a cementitious material. *Sustainability, 14*(19), 12075.

[4] Al, S. (2022). *Supertall: How the World's Tallest Buildings are Reshaping Our Cities and Our Lives*: WW Norton & Company.

[5] Allahvirdizadeh, P. (2020). A review on geothermal wells: Well integrity issues. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 275*, 124009.

[6] Antunes, M., Santos, R. L., Pereira, J., Rocha, P., Horta, R. B., & Colaço, R. (2021). Alternative clinker technologies for reducing carbon emissions in cement industry: a critical review. *Materials, 15*(1), 209.

[7] Aslani, F., Zhang, Y., Manning, D., Valdez, L. C., & Manning, N. (2022). Additive and alternative materials to cement for well plugging and abandonment: A state-of-the-art review. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 215*, 110728.

[8] Bikomeye, J. C., Rublee, C. S., & Beyer, K. M. (2021). Positive externalities of climate change mitigation and adaptation for human health: a review and conceptual framework for public health research. *International journal of environmental research and public health, 18*(5), 2481.

[9] Brkić, D., & Praks, P. (2020). Proper use of technical standards in offshore petroleum industry. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 8*(8), 555.

[10] Castañón, A. M., Sanmiquel, L., Bascompta, M., Vega y de la Fuente, A., Contreras, V., & Gómez-Fernández, F. (2021). Used tires as fuel in clinker production: economic and environmental implications. *Sustainability, 13*(18), 10455.

[11] Chukwuemeka, A. O., Oluyemi, G., Mohammed, A. I., & Njuguna, J. (2023). Plug and abandonment of oil and gas wells–A comprehensive review of regulations, practices, and related impact of materials selection. *Geoenergy Science and Engineering, 226*, 211718.

[12] Da Silva, S. R., & Andrade, J. J. d. O. (2022). A review on the effect of mechanical properties and durability of concrete with construction and demolition waste (CDW) and fly ash in the production of new cement concrete. *Sustainability, 14*(11), 6740.

[13] Dahi Taleghani, A., & Santos, L. (2023). Cement and Leakage of Cement Barriers. In *Wellbore Integrity: From Theory to Practice* (pp. 43-80): Springer.

[14] Davoodi, S., Al-Shargabi, M., Wood, D. A., & Rukavishnikov, V. S. (2024). Recent advances in polymers as additives for wellbore cementing applications: A review. *Fuel, 357*, 129692.

[15] Ezeh, M., Ogbu, A., Ikevuje, A., & George, E. (2024). Optimizing risk management in oil and gas trading: A comprehensive analysis. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6*(7), 1461-1480.

[16] Gupta, S., & Chaudhary, S. (2022). State of the art review on supplementary cementitious materials in India–II: Characteristics of SCMs, effect on concrete and environmental impact. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 357*, 131945.

[17] Habert, G., Miller, S. A., John, V. M., Provis, J. L., Favier, A., Horvath, A., & Scrivener, K. L. (2020). Environmental impacts and decarbonization strategies in the cement and concrete industries. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 1*(11), 559-573.

[18] Izumi, Y., Iizuka, A., & Ho, H.-J. (2021). Calculation of greenhouse gas emissions for a carbon recycling system using mineral carbon capture and utilization technology in the cement industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 312*, 127618.

[19] Jaculli, M. A., Choueri Jr, N., da Mata, C. R., Leite, A. G. A. S., Mendes, J. R. P., & Colombo, D. (2022). Well safety and integrity evaluation of offshore wells: A review of past, present, and future. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 212*, 110329.

[20] Jambol, D. D., Ukato, A., Ozowe, C., & Babayeju, O. A. (2024). Leveraging machine learning to enhance instrumentation accuracy in oil and gas extraction. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5*(6), 1335-1357.

[21] Knol-Kauffman, M., Solås, A.-M., & Arbo, P. (2021). Government-industry dynamics in the development of offshore waste management in Norway: from prescriptive to risk-based regulation. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 64*(4), 649-670.

[22] Kumar, S., Bera, A., & Shah, S. N. (2022). Potential applications of nanomaterials in oil and gas well cementing: Current status, challenges and prospects. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 213*, 110395.

[23] Langelo, E. (2021). *A Review of Sustained Casing Pressure in Offshore Wells-Mechanisms, Diagnosis and Remediation.* uis,

[24] Liu, C., Su, X., Wu, Y., Zheng, Z., Yang, B., Luo, Y., . . . Yang, J. (2021). Effect of nano-silica as cementitious materials-reducing admixtures on the workability, mechanical properties and durability of concrete. *Nanotechnology Reviews, 10*(1), 1395-1409.

[25] Monteiro, H., Moura, B., & Soares, N. (2022). Advancements in nano-enabled cement and concrete: Innovative properties and environmental implications. *Journal of Building Engineering, 56*, 104736.

[26] Nayak, D. K., Abhilash, P., Singh, R., Kumar, R., & Kumar, V. (2022). Fly ash for sustainable construction: A review of fly ash concrete and its beneficial use case studies. *Cleaner Materials, 6*, 100143.

[27] Nicoara, A. I., Stoica, A. E., Vrabec, M., Šmuc Rogan, N., Sturm, S., Ow-Yang, C., . . . Vasile, B. S. (2020). End-of-life materials used as supplementary cementitious materials in the concrete industry. *Materials, 13*(8), 1954.

[28] Ochulor, O. J., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). Technological advancements in drilling: A comparative analysis of onshore and offshore applications. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 22*(2), 602-611.

[29] Ogbu, A. D., Iwe, K. A., Ozowe, W., & Ikevuje, A. H. (2024). Innovations in Real-Time Pore Pressure Prediction Using Drilling Data: A Conceptual Framework. *Innovations, 20*(8), 158-168.

[30] Ogbu, A. D., Ozowe, W., & Ikevuje, A. H. (2024). Oil spill response strategies: A comparative conceptual study between the USA and Nigeria. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 20*(1), 208-227.

[31] Onita, F. B., & Ochulor, O. J. (2024). Geosteering in deep water wells: A theoretical review of challenges and solutions.

[32] Ozowe, C., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024a). Advances in well design and integrity: Areview of technological innovations and adaptive strategies for global oil recovery. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 12*(1), 133-144.

[33] Ozowe, C., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024b). Future directions in well intervention: A conceptual exploration of emerging technologies and techniques. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(5), 1752-1766.

[34] Qian, X., Wang, J., Wang, L., Fang, Y., Chen, P., & Li, M. (2022). A clean dispersant for nano-silica to enhance the performance of cement mortars. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 371*, 133647.

[35] Saleh, H. M., & Eskander, S. B. (2020). Innovative cement-based materials for environmental protection and restoration. In *New materials in civil engineering* (pp. 613-641): Elsevier.

[36] Sofoluwe, O. O., Ochulor, O. J., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). AI-enhanced subsea maintenance for improved safety and efficiency: Exploring strategic approaches.

[37] Sousa, V., & Bogas, J. A. (2021). Comparison of energy consumption and carbon emissions from clinker and recycled cement production. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 306*, 127277.

[38] Tabish, M., Zaheer, M. M., & Baqi, A. (2023). Effect of nano-silica on mechanical, microstructural and durability properties of cement-based materials: A review. *Journal of Building Engineering, 65*, 105676.

[39] Tang, Z., Li, W., Tam, V. W., & Xue, C. (2020). Advanced progress in recycling municipal and construction solid wastes for manufacturing sustainable construction materials. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling: X, 6*, 100036.

[40] Tao, C., Rosenbaum, E., Kutchko, B. G., & Massoudi, M. (2021). A brief review of gas migration in oilwell cement slurries. *Energies, 14*(9), 2369.

[41] Terán-Cuadrado, G., Tahir, F., Nurdiawati, A., Almarshoud, M. A., & Al-Ghamdi, S. G. (2024). Current and Potential Materials for the Low-Carbon Cement Production: Life Cycle Assessment Perspective. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 110528.

[42] Ukato, A., Jambol, D. D., Ozowe, C., & Babayeju, O. A. (2024). Leadership and safety culture in drilling operations: strategies for zero incidents. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6*(6), 1824-1841.

[43] Wang, Z., Liang, G., Jiang, S., Wang, F., Li, H., Li, B., . . . Gong, W. (2024). Understanding the environmental impact and risks of organic additives in plastics: A call for sustained research and sustainable solutions. *Emerging Contaminants*, 100388.

[44] Wood, D. A. (2024). Natural gas drilling: an overview of sustainability challenges. *Sustainable Natural Gas Drilling*, 3-34.

[45] Wu, Y., Qiao, W.-g., Liu, H.-n., Li, Y.-z., Zhang, S., Xi, K., & Zhang, L. (2021). Research on sustainable development of fine-grained material cement slurry. *Construction and Building Materials, 302*, 124155.

[46] Yousuf, N., Olayiwola, O., Guo, B., & Liu, N. (2021). A comprehensive review on the loss of wellbore integrity due to cement failure and available remedial methods. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 207*, 109123.

[47] Zhao, Z., Qi, T., Zhou, W., Hui, D., Xiao, C., Qi, J., . . . Zhao, Z. (2020). A review on the properties, reinforcing effects, and commercialization of nanomaterials for cement-based materials. *Nanotechnology Reviews, 9*(1), 303-322.

[48] Zhu, H., Chen, W., Cheng, S., Yang, L., Wang, S., & Xiong, J. (2022). Low carbon and high efficiency limestone-calcined clay as supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs): Multi-indicator comparison with conventional SCMs. *Construction and Building Materials, 341*, 127748.