

(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Developing a framework for supply chain resilience in renewable energy operations

Ekene Cynthia Onukwulu ^{1,*}, Mercy Odochi Agho ² and Nsisong Louis Eyo-Udo ³

¹ Kent Business School, University of Kent, UK.

² Independent Researcher, Port Harcourt Nigeria.

³ Independent Researcher, Lagos Nigeria.

Global Journal of Research in Science and Technology, 2023, 01(02), 001-018

Publication history: Received on 17 July 2023; revised on 25 September 2023; accepted on 28 September 2023

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.58175/gjrst.2023.1.2.0048>

Abstract

The global transition towards renewable energy is accompanied by an increasing need to enhance the resilience of supply chains in the sector. Developing a framework for supply chain resilience in renewable energy operations is essential for mitigating risks such as resource shortages, geopolitical uncertainties, and environmental challenges. This paper proposes a comprehensive framework designed to strengthen the supply chain resilience in renewable energy operations, ensuring operational continuity and minimizing disruptions. The framework integrates key concepts from risk management, sustainability, and supply chain optimization, addressing both upstream and downstream activities. The framework focuses on several key components: risk assessment, diversification of supply sources, collaboration across the value chain, and the adoption of technology and innovation. Risk assessment techniques are used to identify vulnerabilities in the supply chain, enabling proactive strategies for risk mitigation. Diversification of suppliers and sourcing regions is a critical strategy to reduce reliance on single sources and prevent bottlenecks in the supply chain. Collaborative partnerships between manufacturers, suppliers, and logistics providers foster agility and flexibility, enabling the rapid adaptation to unforeseen events. Furthermore, the integration of advanced technologies, such as blockchain for transparency, IoT for real-time monitoring, and AI for predictive analytics, is incorporated into the framework to enhance decision-making and improve responsiveness. The paper also highlights the importance of sustainability and circular economy principles in making supply chains more robust and adaptable in the long term. Lastly, the framework includes performance metrics and continuous improvement processes to evaluate and enhance the resilience of supply chains over time. The proposed framework provides a holistic approach to building supply chain resilience in renewable energy operations, ensuring the continuity of critical supply flows while promoting sustainability, innovation, and risk management. The insights and strategies offered can be applied to various renewable energy sectors, including solar, wind, and bioenergy, to support the long-term growth and stability of the industry.

Keywords: Supply Chain Resilience; Renewable Energy; Risk Management; Sustainability; Diversification; Blockchain; IoT; AI; Circular Economy

1 Introduction

The renewable energy sector has emerged as a cornerstone in the global transition towards sustainable energy solutions, driven by the urgent need to mitigate climate change and reduce dependency on fossil fuels. As nations and organizations invest in technologies such as solar, wind, and bioenergy, the demand for renewable energy sources continues to grow rapidly. However, alongside this growth, renewable energy supply chains face a host of challenges, including fluctuating resource availability, geopolitical tensions, environmental risks, and technological disruptions (Adejuge & Adejuge, 2014, Basse, 2022, Okeke, et al., 2022, Oyindamola & Esan, 2023). To ensure the continued success and stability of the industry, supply chain resilience has become a critical focus.

* Corresponding author: Ekene Cynthia Onukwulu

In the renewable energy sector, resilient supply chains are essential for mitigating risks and ensuring uninterrupted operations. The interconnected nature of global supply chains means that disruptions, whether due to natural disasters, political instability, or resource shortages, can have far-reaching consequences. A resilient supply chain allows companies to quickly adapt to disruptions, maintain operations, and ensure that energy production is not significantly impacted (Agupugo, et al., 2022, Bassey, 2023, Okeke, et al., 2023, Oyeniran, et al., 2023). It also supports long-term sustainability by promoting the adoption of diversified suppliers, collaboration, and advanced technologies that enhance supply chain performance and decision-making.

This paper aims to develop a comprehensive framework for enhancing supply chain resilience in renewable energy operations. The objective is to provide a structured approach for identifying and addressing vulnerabilities in the supply chain, as well as implementing strategies that strengthen overall resilience. The framework will explore key components such as risk assessment, supply chain diversification, collaboration, and the role of technological innovation in driving resilience. Additionally, the framework will incorporate sustainability and circular economy principles to ensure that supply chains are not only resilient but also environmentally responsible.

The development of this framework follows a multi-step approach, beginning with a thorough examination of current challenges and best practices in the renewable energy sector. By integrating these insights, the framework will offer actionable strategies that industry stakeholders can adopt to enhance supply chain resilience, ensuring the continued success and growth of renewable energy operations globally (Adeniran, et al., 2022, Bassey, 2023, Okeke, et al., 2022, Oyeniran, et al., 2023).

2 Literature Review

Supply chain resilience has gained significant attention in recent years, particularly in the context of industries like renewable energy that face dynamic, unpredictable environments. As the renewable energy sector continues to grow and evolve, the concept of supply chain resilience becomes increasingly important to ensure that disruptions do not undermine the smooth operation and expansion of this industry. Resilience in supply chains refers to the ability to prepare for, respond to, and recover from various disruptions, ensuring that the supply chain can continue to function effectively despite unexpected challenges. It includes not only the capacity to withstand disruptions but also the ability to adapt to changing conditions and emerging risks. In the renewable energy sector, resilience is vital as these supply chains are complex, global, and highly dependent on the availability of raw materials, technology, and infrastructure.

Supply chains in the renewable energy sector face several challenges that can significantly impact their resilience. One major issue is resource shortages, which are particularly concerning given the growing global demand for renewable energy technologies. For example, key materials such as rare earth metals, lithium for batteries, and silicon for solar panels are critical to the production of renewable energy technologies (Azubuko, et al., 2023, Bassey, 2022, Okeke, et al., 2023, Oyeniran, et al., 2022). These resources are often mined in limited geographic regions, creating vulnerabilities in the supply chain. When the demand for such materials increases, supply shortages may arise, resulting in delays in production, higher costs, or even disruptions in the availability of renewable energy products. Moreover, the mining and extraction processes themselves can be resource-intensive and environmentally damaging, further complicating the resilience of supply chains. The dependence on a limited number of suppliers or regions for key resources exacerbates the risks associated with resource shortages.

Geopolitical risks are another challenge that renewable energy supply chains must contend with. The renewable energy industry relies heavily on global supply chains for materials, components, and equipment. Geopolitical events, such as trade wars, sanctions, and political instability, can disrupt these international supply chains. For instance, trade restrictions on China, a key supplier of solar panels and wind turbines, have had ripple effects across the global renewable energy market (Adepoju, Akinyomi & Esan, 2023, Bassey, 2023, Okeke, et al., 2022, Oyeniran, et al., 2023). Political tensions and changes in trade policies can lead to uncertainties in the availability of critical materials and components, resulting in delays, increased costs, and uncertainty for renewable energy projects. Additionally, some countries may prioritize energy independence, leading to shifts in the global supply chain dynamics and altering the flow of resources and technologies needed for renewable energy production.

Environmental challenges are also a significant concern for supply chains in the renewable energy sector. While renewable energy technologies are designed to be environmentally sustainable, the processes involved in their production and the management of their components can still have environmental impacts (Abdali, et al., 2021, Bassey & Ibegbulam, 2023, Okeke, et al., 2023, Oyeniran, et al., 2023). The extraction of raw materials for renewable energy technologies, such as mining for lithium or cobalt, can lead to environmental degradation, including deforestation, water pollution, and soil erosion. Furthermore, renewable energy systems, particularly wind and solar power, require large

amounts of land and resources, which can compete with other land uses, including agriculture and conservation efforts. In addition, the energy systems themselves must be regularly maintained and upgraded, which can place additional stress on already vulnerable supply chains. These environmental challenges must be addressed to ensure that renewable energy supply chains are both resilient and sustainable.

Existing frameworks and models for supply chain resilience have been applied in various sectors, but the specific needs and risks of the renewable energy industry require a tailored approach. A widely recognized framework for supply chain resilience is the "Supply Chain Resilience Model" developed by Christopher and Peck, which focuses on four key elements: the ability to anticipate, adapt, respond, and recover from disruptions. This model emphasizes the importance of proactive risk management, flexible supply chains, and the need for collaboration among supply chain partners (Adejugbe, 2020, Beiranvand & Rajaei, 2022, Okeke, et al., 2022, Oyeniran, et al., 2022). It also highlights the role of technology in enhancing resilience, particularly in terms of real-time data analysis and decision-making capabilities. However, while this model provides a useful foundation, it does not fully address the unique characteristics of the renewable energy sector, such as the specific risks associated with the availability of resources and the highly regulated nature of renewable energy technologies.

In the context of renewable energy, other frameworks have been proposed that focus on improving supply chain performance and resilience. For example, some scholars have suggested integrating risk management with sustainability practices to create a more holistic approach to resilience. This involves not only identifying and mitigating risks but also ensuring that supply chains are sustainable and aligned with the long-term goals of the renewable energy industry. The "Sustainable Supply Chain Management" (SSCM) framework, which combines supply chain management with sustainability principles, can help address environmental concerns and ensure that renewable energy supply chains are both resilient and environmentally responsible. Moreover, incorporating circular economy principles into renewable energy supply chains can reduce waste, promote resource efficiency, and enhance resilience by extending the lifecycle of materials and components used in renewable energy technologies.

Despite these efforts, several gaps remain in the research and practice of supply chain resilience in renewable energy operations. One of the main challenges is the lack of a comprehensive, sector-specific resilience framework that addresses the unique risks faced by the renewable energy industry. While general supply chain resilience models provide a solid starting point, they fail to capture the nuances of renewable energy operations, such as the volatility of raw material prices, the complexity of global supply chains, and the regulatory challenges that impact the sector (Adenugba & Dagunduro, 2021, Bello, et al., 2023, Okeke, et al., 2023, Popo-Olaniyan, et al., 2022). Furthermore, there is a need for more research on the role of innovation and technology in improving supply chain resilience. While technological solutions such as blockchain, the Internet of Things (IoT), and artificial intelligence (AI) have shown promise in other industries, their application in the renewable energy sector remains underexplored. More research is needed to identify how these technologies can be effectively integrated into renewable energy supply chains to enhance their resilience and performance.

Another gap in the current literature is the lack of detailed case studies and practical examples of supply chain resilience in the renewable energy sector. While some studies have explored the theoretical aspects of resilience, fewer have examined real-world applications and provided insights into how companies can implement resilience strategies (Agu, et al., 2023, Bello, et al., 2023, Bristol-Alagbariya, Ayanponle & Ogedengbe, 2023). Detailed case studies can offer valuable lessons for industry practitioners, showing how different approaches to risk management, collaboration, and innovation can be successfully applied in renewable energy supply chains. Additionally, the impact of sustainability practices on supply chain resilience is still not well understood, and more research is needed to explore how environmental sustainability and resilience can be effectively balanced within the renewable energy supply chain.

In conclusion, while there has been substantial research on supply chain resilience, the unique challenges and risks of the renewable energy sector require more focused attention. Addressing resource shortages, geopolitical risks, and environmental challenges is crucial for ensuring that renewable energy supply chains can withstand disruptions and continue to support the growth of the industry. Existing frameworks provide valuable insights, but gaps remain in developing a comprehensive, sector-specific resilience framework that integrates sustainability and innovation. Future research should focus on filling these gaps by developing tailored resilience strategies, exploring the role of technology in enhancing supply chain performance, and providing practical case studies to guide industry practitioners in implementing effective resilience practices.

3 Key Components of the Resilience Framework

A key component of any framework aimed at enhancing supply chain resilience in renewable energy operations is effective risk assessment. Identifying vulnerabilities in the supply chain is critical to understanding where disruptions may occur, the potential impact of these disruptions, and how to address them proactively. Vulnerabilities can arise at various points along the supply chain, including the procurement of raw materials, manufacturing processes, transportation, and distribution. In the renewable energy sector, vulnerabilities may include fluctuations in the availability of critical resources like rare earth metals, geopolitical risks that impact trade, and environmental challenges that affect infrastructure and transportation routes. Recognizing these vulnerabilities requires a comprehensive approach that involves both internal assessments and the examination of external factors that could disrupt operations.

Risk assessment in supply chains can be conducted through both qualitative and quantitative methods. Qualitative risk assessment involves expert judgment, stakeholder interviews, and scenario analysis to identify potential risks and evaluate their impact. This approach provides valuable insights into risks that may not be easily quantified but are nonetheless critical to resilience planning (Adejube & Adejube, 2018, Bello, et al., 2022, Okeke, et al., 2022, Popo-Olaniyan, et al., 2022). Quantitative risk assessment, on the other hand, uses data-driven models and statistical tools to assess risks based on historical data and predictive analytics. These methods can help quantify the likelihood and potential impact of disruptions, enabling companies to prioritize risks and allocate resources effectively. Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches allows for a more holistic view of risk, providing a stronger foundation for decision-making.

Diversification of supply sources is another critical component in building resilience in renewable energy supply chains. Relying on a single supplier or region for critical components such as solar panels, wind turbines, or battery materials increases the vulnerability of the supply chain to disruptions. Supply chain diversification involves sourcing materials and products from multiple suppliers and regions, reducing the impact of any single disruption. For example, if a company relies solely on suppliers in one country, a geopolitical conflict or trade restrictions in that region could severely disrupt operations (Abdelaal, Elkatatny & Abdulraheem, 2021, Bello, et al., 2023, Okeke, et al., 2023). By diversifying suppliers and sourcing materials from different countries, companies can mitigate the risks associated with reliance on a single source and ensure a more stable supply of critical materials.

Effective diversification strategies go beyond merely identifying additional suppliers. It involves building a network of suppliers that are not only geographically dispersed but also capable of providing the necessary quality and volume of materials. This requires a careful evaluation of potential suppliers, considering factors such as cost, reliability, capacity, and regulatory compliance (Adejube & Adejube, 2015, Bello, et al., 2023, Okeke, et al., 2022, Sanyaolu, et al., 2023). Additionally, diversification should also encompass different types of suppliers, including primary, secondary, and tertiary suppliers, to ensure that the supply chain remains intact even if one tier of suppliers faces disruptions. By creating a flexible supply base, companies can reduce their exposure to supply chain risks and increase their ability to adapt to unforeseen events.

Collaboration and partnerships are essential for enhancing supply chain resilience. Building strong relationships among stakeholders—including manufacturers, suppliers, logistics providers, and other partners—fosters a collaborative environment that promotes agility and flexibility in the face of disruptions. Effective collaboration enables the exchange of real-time information, joint problem-solving, and coordinated responses to supply chain challenges. In the renewable energy sector, this is particularly important because of the complex and often global nature of supply chains. For example, a supplier in one country may be experiencing production delays that could impact a manufacturer in another country. Through strong partnerships, these stakeholders can work together to develop alternative solutions, such as adjusting production schedules or identifying alternative suppliers, to minimize the disruption's impact.

The benefits of collaboration extend beyond just responding to disruptions; they also play a critical role in building proactive resilience. By sharing knowledge, resources, and capabilities, companies can anticipate potential risks and develop strategies to prevent or mitigate them. For instance, manufacturers and suppliers in the renewable energy sector can collaborate on joint R&D efforts to develop more efficient and sustainable production methods, reducing both costs and vulnerabilities to resource shortages (Agupugo, 2023, Bristol-Alagbariya, Ayanponle & Ogedengbe, 2022, Oyeniran, et al., 2023). Additionally, partnerships with logistics providers can help optimize transportation routes and infrastructure, ensuring that products are delivered on time even during periods of disruption. The ability to collaborate with stakeholders across the supply chain enables companies to respond quickly to changes in market conditions, resource availability, and other factors that may affect operations.

Technology and innovation play a crucial role in improving supply chain resilience, particularly in an industry as dynamic as renewable energy. Advanced technologies such as blockchain, the Internet of Things (IoT), and artificial intelligence (AI) are transforming the way supply chains operate, making them more agile, efficient, and responsive to disruptions. Blockchain, for example, provides a secure and transparent way to track and verify the movement of materials throughout the supply chain, reducing the risk of fraud, errors, and delays (Abdelfattah, et al., 2021, Crawford, et al., 2023, Okeke, et al., 2023). By using blockchain to authenticate the provenance of raw materials and monitor the flow of goods, renewable energy companies can gain greater visibility into their supply chains, enabling them to identify potential disruptions and take proactive measures.

The IoT enables real-time monitoring of equipment, machinery, and transportation networks, providing valuable data that can be used to predict and prevent issues before they occur. For example, IoT sensors can monitor the condition of wind turbines or solar panels and provide alerts when maintenance is needed, helping to avoid costly breakdowns and production losses. Similarly, IoT-enabled tracking systems can monitor shipments of critical materials, ensuring that they are delivered on time and in the right condition. This level of real-time visibility allows companies to make informed decisions and respond more effectively to disruptions, improving both operational efficiency and resilience.

AI further enhances supply chain resilience by analyzing large volumes of data to predict potential risks and optimize decision-making. Machine learning algorithms can analyze historical data to identify patterns and trends that may indicate an increased likelihood of disruptions, such as fluctuations in material prices or weather events that could affect transportation (Agupugo, et al., 2022, Dagunduro & Adenugba, 2020, Okeke, et al., 2022, Yasemi, et al., 2023). By integrating AI into supply chain management, companies can make more accurate forecasts, adjust inventory levels, and optimize supply chain operations to reduce the impact of potential disruptions. AI can also improve decision-making by automating routine tasks, such as order processing and inventory management, freeing up resources for more strategic initiatives.

The implementation of digital solutions is critical for enhancing decision-making within renewable energy supply chains. By leveraging technologies such as AI, IoT, and blockchain, companies can integrate data from various sources, enabling better forecasting, risk management, and response planning. For example, advanced analytics platforms can provide predictive insights that help companies anticipate disruptions and take proactive actions, such as adjusting inventory or identifying alternative suppliers. By enabling smarter decision-making, digital solutions can improve the overall resilience of the supply chain, ensuring that renewable energy operations remain efficient and reliable, even in the face of challenges.

In conclusion, the key components of a resilience framework for renewable energy supply chains—risk assessment, diversification, collaboration, and technology—are interdependent and critical for ensuring that supply chains can withstand and recover from disruptions. Effective risk assessment allows companies to identify and mitigate vulnerabilities, while diversification reduces dependence on single suppliers and regions. Collaboration among stakeholders fosters flexibility and agility, enabling a coordinated response to disruptions. Technology and innovation provide the tools necessary to monitor, analyze, and optimize supply chain operations, improving resilience and decision-making. Together, these components form the foundation for a robust supply chain resilience framework that can support the sustainable growth of the renewable energy sector.

4 Sustainability and Circular Economy Principles

Sustainability is increasingly recognized as a critical aspect of business operations, especially within the renewable energy sector, where the goal is not only to reduce environmental impact but also to foster long-term resilience in supply chains. Integrating sustainability into supply chain practices involves embedding environmental, social, and governance (ESG) principles into decision-making, strategy, and operations. By focusing on sustainable sourcing, waste reduction, and energy efficiency, businesses can create a positive impact on both the environment and their bottom line. In the context of renewable energy operations, this means ensuring that the materials used in manufacturing and the processes involved are environmentally friendly, minimizing waste, and ensuring that the supply chain is able to withstand disruptions without compromising long-term sustainability goals.

One of the core elements of integrating sustainability into supply chains is the reduction of carbon footprints. Renewable energy companies are often at the forefront of efforts to combat climate change, but their supply chains can also be significant sources of emissions. Reducing emissions within the supply chain requires a holistic approach, where every stage—from raw material extraction to transportation, manufacturing, and distribution—undergoes rigorous sustainability assessments. For instance, companies in the solar and wind energy industries must ensure that the raw materials for their products, such as metals and components, are sourced in an environmentally responsible manner

(Adeniran, et al., 2022, Efunniyi, et al., 2022, Okeke, et al., 2023, Taleghani & Santos, 2023). This might involve selecting suppliers who adhere to stringent environmental standards or investing in technology that reduces the carbon intensity of their operations. Sustainable logistics practices, such as optimizing transportation routes to reduce fuel consumption, can also be critical in reducing the overall environmental impact of the supply chain.

Integrating sustainability practices also involves a strong focus on social and governance aspects, ensuring that renewable energy companies maintain high standards for ethical labor practices, fair wages, and transparency in their supply chain operations. This commitment to social sustainability enhances the resilience of the supply chain by fostering positive relationships with stakeholders and building trust with consumers (Adenugba & Dagunduro, 2019, Elujide, et al., 2021, Okeke, et al., 2022). Ethical sourcing of materials, for instance, not only benefits the environment but also ensures that companies comply with international labor standards and protect vulnerable communities from exploitation. Governance practices related to sustainability further strengthen resilience by promoting transparency and accountability within the supply chain, ensuring that companies are better equipped to handle disruptions, regulatory changes, and shifting consumer preferences.

Circular economy principles present an innovative approach to supply chain resilience in renewable energy operations. At the core of the circular economy is the idea of keeping resources in use for as long as possible, recovering and regenerating products at the end of their life cycle, and minimizing waste. Circular economy strategies are highly relevant in the renewable energy sector, where materials such as rare earth metals, solar panels, and wind turbine components are used in significant quantities (Adejuge & Adejuge, 2020, Elujide, et al., 2021, Okeke, et al., 2023). By adopting circular economy approaches, renewable energy companies can reduce their reliance on virgin materials, lower the environmental impact of their operations, and create more sustainable and resilient supply chains.

One key circular economy principle is designing products with end-of-life considerations in mind. For renewable energy technologies, this could involve developing products that can be easily disassembled and recycled, such as solar panels and wind turbines. Many of the materials used in renewable energy infrastructure, including metals and rare earth elements, are finite and can be subject to price volatility and geopolitical risks. By incorporating circular economy principles into the design of products, companies can reduce the pressure on these resources and minimize their exposure to supply chain disruptions caused by resource scarcity. For example, companies could design their solar panels to be more easily recyclable, or they could create closed-loop systems in which the materials used in manufacturing are recovered and reused in new products.

Another circular economy approach that enhances resilience is resource recovery and recycling. In renewable energy operations, this means recovering valuable materials from end-of-life products and reintroducing them into the supply chain. For instance, rare earth metals used in the production of wind turbines and electric vehicle batteries are often difficult to obtain and environmentally costly to extract (Adepoju & Esan, 2023, Bristol-Alagbariya, Ayanponle & Ogedengbe, 2023, Waswa, Kedi & Sula, 2015). By creating systems for recycling these materials, renewable energy companies can reduce their dependence on new mining activities, lowering the environmental impact of their operations and ensuring a more stable supply of critical resources. Recycling also helps mitigate supply chain disruptions caused by resource shortages or fluctuations in commodity prices, making the supply chain more resilient to external shocks.

The implementation of circular economy principles can also reduce waste and enhance efficiency throughout the supply chain. For example, adopting practices that minimize waste during manufacturing and transportation can help reduce costs and improve resource utilization. Packaging waste, often a significant issue in logistics, can be reduced by shifting toward reusable and recyclable packaging materials. By ensuring that all elements of the supply chain operate as efficiently as possible, renewable energy companies can reduce operational costs while simultaneously contributing to environmental sustainability.

Case studies from renewable energy companies highlight the successful integration of sustainability and circular economy principles into supply chain resilience strategies. One example is the solar energy industry, where companies such as First Solar have developed closed-loop systems to recycle used solar panels (Aniebonam, et al., 2023, Esan, 2023, Okeke, et al., 2022, Popo-Olanian, et al., 2022). First Solar's recycling program is designed to recover valuable materials, including cadmium telluride and glass, from decommissioned solar panels, and reintroduce them into the manufacturing process. This circular approach not only reduces waste but also provides a stable supply of critical materials, reducing the company's reliance on raw material extraction and enhancing the overall resilience of the supply chain.

Another example is the wind energy sector, where General Electric (GE) has implemented a series of sustainable supply chain initiatives to minimize environmental impact and enhance resilience. GE's approach focuses on sustainable manufacturing processes, including the use of renewable energy in production and the recycling of components. In addition, the company has invested in technologies to extend the lifespan of wind turbine blades, reducing waste and improving the circularity of its products (Adejugebe & Adejugebe, 2016, Gil-Ozoudeh, et al., 2022, Okeke, et al., 2023). By designing wind turbines for longevity and recyclability, GE ensures that the materials used in turbine production can be reused or recycled at the end of their useful life, reducing both costs and environmental impact.

The role of circular economy principles in supply chain resilience extends beyond just the recycling of materials. For instance, the global push for sustainable electric vehicle (EV) production and storage solutions highlights the increasing importance of resource recovery and responsible material sourcing in renewable energy supply chains. Companies involved in battery production for EVs and renewable energy storage solutions, such as Tesla and Panasonic, are increasingly investing in technologies to recover valuable materials such as lithium, cobalt, and nickel from used batteries, reducing the environmental impact of mining and ensuring that the supply chain remains robust and resilient.

These case studies demonstrate the effectiveness of integrating sustainability and circular economy principles into renewable energy supply chains. By reducing waste, recycling materials, and designing products with longevity in mind, companies can not only improve the environmental sustainability of their operations but also enhance the resilience of their supply chains (Azzola, Thiemann & Gaucher, 2023, Gil-Ozoudeh, et al., 2023, Okeke, et al., 2022). Circular economy practices, in particular, help reduce dependence on finite resources, mitigate price fluctuations, and improve supply chain stability in the face of external shocks. Furthermore, by embracing sustainability practices and circularity, renewable energy companies can strengthen their relationships with stakeholders, build consumer trust, and create long-term value in an increasingly competitive market.

In conclusion, integrating sustainability and circular economy principles into supply chain resilience frameworks is vital for the long-term success of renewable energy operations. Sustainability-focused practices such as reducing carbon footprints, ethical sourcing, and promoting social responsibility are key to building resilient supply chains that can withstand disruptions while contributing to environmental and social well-being. Circular economy approaches, which emphasize recycling, resource recovery, and product design for longevity, further enhance resilience by ensuring that supply chains are less vulnerable to resource shortages, price volatility, and waste. By adopting these principles, renewable energy companies can not only improve their environmental performance but also ensure that their supply chains are robust, adaptable, and capable of supporting the industry's growth in the face of future challenges.

5 Performance Metrics and Continuous Improvement

Performance metrics and continuous improvement are essential components for ensuring the long-term effectiveness and resilience of supply chains in renewable energy operations. By focusing on measurable outcomes and fostering a culture of ongoing refinement, companies can enhance their supply chain's ability to withstand disruptions, optimize processes, and drive sustainable performance over time. Developing and implementing performance metrics and continuously evaluating and improving the resilience of supply chains is critical for maintaining competitive advantage and meeting the growing demand for renewable energy.

Key performance indicators (KPIs) are vital tools for assessing the resilience of supply chains in renewable energy operations. These metrics allow companies to track and measure their supply chain's performance across various dimensions, from material sourcing to production and logistics. Effective KPIs provide insight into how well a supply chain is functioning and where improvements can be made to enhance resilience (Abdo, 2019, Bristol-Alagbariya, Ayanponle & Ogedengbe, 2022, Prauzek, et al., 2023). One of the most important KPIs for assessing supply chain resilience is lead time. Lead time measures the time it takes for products or materials to move from the supplier to the manufacturer or end-user. In renewable energy operations, long lead times can be a significant source of vulnerability, especially when supply chains are disrupted by external factors such as geopolitical risks, natural disasters, or resource shortages. By tracking and managing lead times, companies can identify bottlenecks in their supply chains and take proactive steps to reduce delays and enhance their ability to respond to disruptions.

Another crucial KPI is inventory turnover, which measures the efficiency of a supply chain in managing its inventory. In the renewable energy sector, maintaining optimal inventory levels is essential to ensure that production and installation activities are not delayed due to material shortages. High inventory turnover rates indicate that a company is effectively managing its stock, reducing excess inventory and the associated risks of obsolescence and waste (Adejugebe & Adejugebe, 2019, Govender, et al., 2022, Okeke, et al., 2022). On the other hand, low turnover rates may signal inefficiencies in supply chain operations or a reliance on a limited number of suppliers, which could expose the company

to risks if those suppliers are unable to meet demand. Companies should regularly monitor and analyze their inventory turnover to identify opportunities for improvement in procurement strategies, demand forecasting, and supplier relationships.

Cost efficiency is another critical performance metric in assessing supply chain resilience. In the renewable energy industry, where margins can be tight, controlling costs without compromising on quality or sustainability is vital. Supply chain costs can include procurement, transportation, storage, and production expenses. By tracking and managing these costs, companies can identify areas for optimization and cost reduction. Reducing transportation costs, for example, could involve optimizing delivery routes or leveraging alternative transportation methods (Adepoju, Esan & Akinyomi, 2022, Iwuanyanwu, et al., 2022, Okeleke, et al., 2023). Lowering procurement costs could involve negotiating better terms with suppliers or exploring alternative materials or technologies. Cost efficiency KPIs also help assess whether companies are effectively balancing cost reductions with the need to maintain supply chain robustness, quality, and environmental standards.

Risk management is another vital aspect of assessing supply chain resilience. Key metrics for evaluating risk include the frequency and impact of disruptions, as well as the effectiveness of contingency plans. For renewable energy operations, disruptions may include supply shortages, transportation delays, regulatory changes, or natural disasters. By measuring the frequency and severity of these disruptions, companies can better understand their vulnerabilities and develop strategies to mitigate these risks (Adenugba & Dagunduro, 2018, Matthews, et al., 2018, Orikpete, Ikemba & Ewim, 2023). A key metric in this area is the risk exposure index, which helps quantify a company's exposure to different risks across its supply chain. This metric allows businesses to prioritize risk mitigation efforts, ensuring that resources are allocated to the most critical areas.

While performance metrics help assess supply chain resilience, they are most effective when paired with strategies for ongoing monitoring and evaluation. Regular monitoring ensures that performance metrics are up-to-date and aligned with current market conditions, supply chain performance, and business objectives. One effective strategy for monitoring supply chain performance is the implementation of a real-time data collection system. Technologies such as Internet of Things (IoT) devices, RFID tracking, and blockchain can provide real-time visibility into various stages of the supply chain (Adejogbe, 2021, Bristol-Alagbariya, Ayanponle & Ogedengbe, 2023, Sanyaolu, et al., 2023). By leveraging these technologies, renewable energy companies can track the movement of materials, monitor inventory levels, and detect potential disruptions before they become major issues. Real-time data also allows for proactive decision-making, enabling companies to adjust their strategies as needed to maintain supply chain resilience.

In addition to real-time monitoring, supply chain performance can be evaluated through regular audits and assessments. These audits can include supplier assessments, inventory reviews, logistics evaluations, and risk assessments. By conducting thorough evaluations on a regular basis, companies can ensure that their supply chain is operating as efficiently and resiliently as possible (Agupugo & Tochukwu, 2021, Nasserddine, Nasserddine & El Arid, 2023, Singh, et al., 2023). These assessments also provide an opportunity to identify weaknesses in the supply chain and develop corrective actions to address them. For example, if a supplier is found to be unreliable or inefficient, it may be necessary to explore alternative sources or develop contingency plans to mitigate the risk of future disruptions. Regular evaluations also help to ensure that sustainability and circular economy goals are being met, particularly in the context of renewable energy supply chains, where environmental performance is a key consideration.

Continuous improvement processes are essential for enhancing the resilience of supply chains over time. A culture of continuous improvement encourages companies to regularly assess their supply chain operations, identify areas for growth, and implement changes to improve performance. One of the most widely used frameworks for continuous improvement is the Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle (Adepoju & Esan, 2023, Ning, et al., 2023, Ovwigho, et al., 2023, Sambo, et al., 2023). This iterative approach encourages companies to plan their supply chain strategies, implement changes, evaluate results, and make further improvements based on feedback and performance data. In the context of supply chain resilience, the PDCA cycle can be applied to all aspects of the supply chain, including procurement, logistics, production, and risk management.

Another approach to continuous improvement is the use of lean and Six Sigma methodologies. Lean principles focus on eliminating waste and improving efficiency, while Six Sigma emphasizes reducing variability and improving process quality. Both methodologies can be applied to renewable energy supply chains to streamline operations, reduce costs, and improve resilience (Adejogbe & Adejugbe, 2018, Odulaja, et al., 2023, Oyedokun, 2019, Pwavodi, et al., 2023). Lean practices, such as value stream mapping, can help identify inefficiencies and bottlenecks in the supply chain, while Six Sigma tools like DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, Control) can help optimize processes and improve quality.

By integrating these methodologies into their continuous improvement efforts, renewable energy companies can create more resilient and agile supply chains capable of adapting to changing market conditions and unforeseen disruptions.

Collaboration with suppliers and other stakeholders is also a key component of continuous improvement. By working closely with suppliers, manufacturers, and logistics partners, companies can share information, resources, and best practices to enhance the resilience of the entire supply chain. Collaborative relationships foster innovation, increase transparency, and enable companies to respond more quickly to challenges. For example, by collaborating with suppliers to improve sustainability practices, renewable energy companies can enhance both the environmental performance and resilience of their supply chains. Joint initiatives to optimize logistics, reduce costs, and improve quality can also strengthen relationships and build trust among supply chain partners.

Employee engagement is another important factor in continuous improvement. Employees at all levels of the organization can contribute valuable insights and ideas for improving supply chain resilience. By fostering a culture of continuous learning and improvement, companies can empower employees to identify opportunities for innovation and process optimization. Training programs, cross-functional teams, and feedback mechanisms are essential for engaging employees and encouraging a mindset of ongoing improvement.

In conclusion, performance metrics and continuous improvement processes are essential for enhancing supply chain resilience in renewable energy operations. By implementing effective KPIs, companies can assess the resilience of their supply chains and identify areas for improvement. Strategies for monitoring and evaluating performance, such as real-time data collection and regular audits, help ensure that supply chain resilience remains a priority. Continuous improvement frameworks, such as PDCA, lean, and Six Sigma, foster a culture of ongoing refinement and adaptation. Collaboration with suppliers, employee engagement, and the integration of innovation further strengthen supply chain resilience, enabling renewable energy companies to remain agile and responsive in an ever-changing global market.

6 Framework Implementation

Implementing a supply chain resilience framework in renewable energy operations requires a structured, systematic approach to ensure that all components of the supply chain are adequately assessed, strengthened, and continuously improved. The implementation process is not only about developing the framework but also about embedding it into the organization's culture and daily operations. This comprehensive process includes clear steps to follow, the necessary tools and resources to aid the implementation, and addressing potential challenges that might arise during the execution phase.

The first step in implementing a supply chain resilience framework is conducting a comprehensive assessment of the existing supply chain. This involves identifying the critical components of the supply chain, such as suppliers, manufacturing facilities, transportation logistics, and distribution channels (Adenugba, Excel & Dagunduro, 2019, Ogbu, et al., 2023, Oyeniran, et al., 2023). Understanding these components is crucial to pinpoint potential vulnerabilities and areas that require improvement. During this phase, it is important to gather data on current performance metrics, existing risks, and potential future disruptions. This data can be collected through various methods such as surveys, audits, and historical performance reviews. The objective is to gain a clear understanding of the strengths and weaknesses in the current supply chain structure.

Once the assessment is complete, the next step is to identify the key risks and vulnerabilities that need to be addressed. This includes external risks like geopolitical instability, environmental challenges, and market fluctuations, as well as internal risks such as inefficiencies, bottlenecks, and inadequate technological infrastructure. Risk management tools, such as risk matrices or SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats) analysis, can help in categorizing and prioritizing these risks. Following this, the organization should develop specific strategies to mitigate or address these risks. This could involve diversifying suppliers, improving inventory management, investing in technology solutions, or creating contingency plans for worst-case scenarios.

After identifying and addressing risks, the next step is to focus on the optimization of the supply chain through diversification, collaboration, and technology integration. Diversification involves sourcing materials and services from multiple suppliers or regions to reduce the risk of dependency on a single source. This is especially important in the renewable energy industry, where raw materials or components can often be sourced from specific regions prone to disruptions (Adejogbe & Adejugbe, 2019, Ogbu, et al., 2023, Oyeniran, et al., 2023, Tula, et al., 2004). Building relationships with suppliers and partners is equally critical to ensure flexibility and agility in the supply chain. Collaborating with suppliers, manufacturers, logistics providers, and even other industry players enhances visibility and provides opportunities to improve operational efficiencies.

Technological solutions play a vital role in the successful implementation of a resilience framework. Tools such as blockchain, Internet of Things (IoT), and artificial intelligence (AI) can provide real-time data tracking, improve decision-making, and predict potential disruptions before they occur. Implementing these technologies requires careful planning, resources, and alignment with existing systems. The integration of digital tools should not be seen as a one-off task but as an ongoing effort to continuously adapt to evolving technological advancements and supply chain needs (Adepoju & Esan, 2023, Bristol-Alagbariya, Ayanponle & Ogedengbe, 2023, Waswa, Kedi & Sula, 2015).

To support the implementation process, several tools and resources are required. These can include specialized software platforms for supply chain management, risk management tools, data analytics systems, and communication platforms to facilitate collaboration. For instance, advanced enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems can provide a comprehensive view of the entire supply chain and integrate data from various departments, helping to streamline operations and improve decision-making. Similarly, supply chain management software that incorporates predictive analytics can be instrumental in assessing future risks and disruptions, allowing the organization to take proactive measures.

Training programs for employees at all levels of the organization are also a critical resource. For the framework to be effective, all stakeholders must understand their roles in maintaining and enhancing supply chain resilience (Abimbola & Esan, 2023, Bristol-Alagbariya, Ayanponle & Ogedengbe, 2022, Rane, 2023). Regular training helps build capacity, familiarize employees with the tools and technologies in use, and promote a culture of collaboration and continuous improvement. Engaging employees in the process also fosters greater buy-in, ensuring that the resilience framework is not just an abstract concept but something that is embraced throughout the organization.

As the implementation phase progresses, organizations should monitor their progress and make adjustments where necessary. This monitoring process involves the collection of data on key performance indicators (KPIs) such as lead times, inventory levels, and supplier performance. By regularly reviewing performance against these metrics, companies can identify areas where the framework is succeeding and where further improvements are required. Continuous monitoring ensures that the resilience framework remains dynamic and responsive to changing conditions in the external environment (Adland, Cariou & Wolff, 2019, Ogedengbe, et al., 2023, Oyeniran, et al., 2022).

Despite the systematic approach to implementing the supply chain resilience framework, organizations will likely face several challenges during the process. One of the key challenges is resistance to change. Many organizations have established ways of operating, and altering these processes can meet with reluctance from employees and suppliers alike. To overcome this challenge, it is important to communicate the long-term benefits of a resilient supply chain, such as greater operational efficiency, reduced risk exposure, and improved sustainability (Adepoju & Esan, 2023, Bristol-Alagbariya, Ayanponle & Ogedengbe, 2023, Waswa, Kedi & Sula, 2015). Involving stakeholders early in the process and soliciting their input can help build trust and foster a sense of ownership, which can ease the transition.

Another challenge is the complexity of integrating new technologies into existing systems. Many renewable energy organizations may already have legacy systems in place, which may not be compatible with modern tools like IoT or AI. This can create friction during implementation, requiring significant investment in upgrading infrastructure, training employees, and ensuring that the new technology is properly integrated into the existing processes. In some cases, companies may need to adopt a phased approach to integration, gradually introducing new technologies and assessing their impact before full-scale implementation.

Furthermore, one of the primary challenges in renewable energy supply chain resilience is the unpredictability of external factors such as environmental disruptions, political instability, or global economic fluctuations. These factors are often outside the control of the organization, making it difficult to prepare for every potential risk. While it is impossible to predict every disruption, developing flexible, adaptable strategies and focusing on key resilience pillars such as diversification, collaboration, and real-time data analytics can help mitigate the impact of these uncertainties. In cases where external risks are high, companies should focus on creating more robust contingency plans, ensuring that critical operations can continue even in the face of disruptions.

Additionally, supply chain disruptions are often magnified when companies rely on a narrow supplier base or single-region sourcing strategies. As renewable energy operations are highly dependent on specific raw materials, such as rare earth metals for wind turbines or solar panel components, securing multiple, diverse suppliers is crucial to reduce the risk of disruption from geopolitical or supply shocks (Adepoju & Esan, 2023, Bristol-Alagbariya, Ayanponle & Ogedengbe, 2023, Waswa, Kedi & Sula, 2015). Although diversification involves higher initial costs and logistical complexity, it ultimately contributes to a more resilient and sustainable supply chain.

Finally, measuring the long-term impact of resilience initiatives can be challenging. It is difficult to quantify resilience until a major disruption occurs. Companies must create long-term monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to assess the ongoing effectiveness of their resilience strategies. While immediate successes might be difficult to assess, consistent data tracking and performance analysis will provide a clear picture of how well the framework is holding up against future challenges.

In conclusion, implementing a framework for supply chain resilience in renewable energy operations is a multi-faceted, complex process that requires careful planning, resource allocation, and ongoing monitoring. The process begins with a thorough assessment of the existing supply chain, followed by risk identification, diversification, collaboration, and technology integration. The successful implementation of the framework is supported by the right tools, resources, and training programs. However, organizations must also be prepared to face challenges such as resistance to change, technology integration issues, and external uncertainties. By adopting a structured approach and focusing on key resilience components, renewable energy companies can build a more robust, adaptable, and sustainable supply chain capable of withstanding future disruptions.

7 Conclusion

In conclusion, developing a framework for supply chain resilience in renewable energy operations is essential for ensuring the sustainability and efficiency of the industry. The increasing complexity and unpredictability of global supply chains, coupled with the growing demand for renewable energy, underscore the need for a comprehensive and adaptive approach to resilience. By focusing on critical components such as risk assessment, diversification of supply sources, collaboration, and the integration of advanced technologies, organizations can build supply chains that are not only robust against disruptions but also capable of evolving with changing market conditions.

Key findings from the development of such a framework highlight the importance of understanding and addressing vulnerabilities within the supply chain. Risk factors such as resource shortages, geopolitical instability, and environmental challenges need to be thoroughly assessed and managed. Diversifying suppliers, regions, and sourcing strategies, along with building strong relationships with stakeholders, emerge as crucial tactics for enhancing flexibility and minimizing risks. Furthermore, the integration of technologies such as blockchain, IoT, and AI provides valuable tools for improving decision-making, transparency, and responsiveness. These technologies not only optimize operations but also enable real-time data analysis, which enhances an organization's ability to react swiftly to disruptions.

The implications for industry stakeholders are significant. For renewable energy companies, the resilience framework offers a strategic approach to maintaining business continuity and achieving long-term sustainability. Suppliers, manufacturers, logistics providers, and policymakers all play vital roles in the success of such frameworks, requiring collaboration and shared commitment to building a more resilient supply chain. For stakeholders across the renewable energy sector, adopting these resilience strategies will be crucial to remaining competitive in an increasingly volatile global environment.

Looking ahead, future research should focus on further refining resilience frameworks, particularly in the context of emerging technologies and the rapidly evolving landscape of renewable energy. Exploring the intersection of sustainability practices and resilience, as well as studying the long-term impact of these frameworks in the face of unpredictable global events, will be key areas for continued inquiry. Additionally, the role of circular economy principles in supply chain resilience and the development of performance metrics for assessing resilience over time warrant further exploration. As the renewable energy industry continues to grow, it will be essential for organizations to adapt and innovate to meet future challenges with resilience and agility.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Abdali, M. R., Mohamadian, N., Ghorbani, H., & Wood, D. A. (2021). Petroleum well blowouts as a threat to drilling operation and wellbore sustainability: causes, prevention, safety and emergency response. *Journal of Construction Materials| Special Issue on Sustainable Petroleum Engineering ISSN*, 2652, 3752.
- [2] Abdelaal, A., Elkatatny, S., & Abdulraheem, A. (2021). Data-driven modeling approach for pore pressure gradient prediction while drilling from drilling parameters. *ACS omega*, 6(21), 13807-13816.
- [3] Abdelfattah, T., Nasir, E., Yang, J., Bynum, J., Klebanov, A., Tarar, D., ... & Mascagnini, C. (2021, September). Data Driven Workflow to Optimize Eagle Ford Unconventional Asset Development Plan Based on Multidisciplinary Data. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition?* (p. D011S006R004). SPE.
- [4] Abdo, A. E. (2019). *Development of a Well Integrity Management System for Drilling and Well Control Applications* (Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Torino).
- [5] Abimbola, O. D., & Esan, O. (2023). Human capital accumulation and employees' well-being in Nigerian deposit money banks. *Akungba Journal of Management*, 5(3), 85-95.
- [6] Adejugbe, A. (2020). Comparison Between Unfair Dismissal Law in Nigeria and the International Labour Organization's Legal Regime. *Social Science Research Network Electronic Journal*. DOI:10.2139/ssrn.3697717
- [7] Adejugbe, A., (2021). From Contract to Status: Unfair Dismissal Law. *Nnamdi Azikiwe University Journal of Commercial and Property Law*, 8(1), pp. 39-53. <https://journals.unizik.edu.ng/jcpl/article/view/649/616>
- [8] Adejugbe, A., Adejugbe A. (2014). Cost and Event in Arbitration (Case Study: Nigeria). *Social Science Research Network Electronic Journal*. DOI:10.2139/ssrn.2830454
- [9] Adejugbe, A., Adejugbe A. (2015). Vulnerable Children Workers and Precarious Work in a Changing World in Nigeria. *Social Science Research Network Electronic Journal*. DOI:10.2139/ssrn.2789248
- [10] Adejugbe, A., Adejugbe A. (2016). A Critical Analysis of the Impact of Legal Restriction on Management and Performance of an Organization Diversifying into Nigeria. *Social Science Research Network Electronic Journal*. DOI:10.2139/ssrn.2742385
- [11] Adejugbe, A., Adejugbe A. (2018). Women and Discrimination in the Workplace: A Nigerian Perspective. *Social Science Research Network Electronic Journal*. DOI:10.2139/ssrn.3244971
- [12] Adejugbe, A., Adejugbe A. (2019). Constitutionalisation of Labour Law: A Nigerian Perspective. *Social Science Research Network Electronic Journal*. DOI:10.2139/ssrn.3311225
- [13] Adejugbe, A., Adejugbe A. (2019). The Certificate of Occupancy as a Conclusive Proof of Title: Fact or Fiction. *Social Science Research Network Electronic Journal*. DOI:10.2139/ssrn.3324775
- [14] Adejugbe, A., Adejugbe A. (2020). The Philosophy of Unfair Dismissal Law in Nigeria. *Social Science Research Network Electronic Journal*. DOI:10.2139/ssrn.3697696
- [15] Adejugbe, A., Adejugbe, A. (2018). *Emerging Trends in Job Security: A Case Study of Nigeria* (1st ed.). LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing. <https://www.amazon.com/Emerging-Trends-Job-Security-Nigeria/dp/6202196769>
- [16] Adeniran, A. I., Abhulimen, A. O., Obiki-Osafiele. A. N., Osundare, O. S., Efunniyi, C. P., Agu, E. E. (2022). Digital banking in Africa: A conceptual review of financial inclusion and socio-economic development. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 2022, 04(10), 451-480, <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v4i10.1480>
- [17] Adeniran, I. A, Abhulimen A.O, Obiki-Osafiele, A.N, Osundare O.S, Efunniyi C.P, & Agu E.E. (2022): Digital banking in Africa: A conceptual review of financial inclusion and socio-economic development. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, Volume 4, Issue 10, P.No. 451-480, 2022
- [18] Adenugba, A. A & Dagunduro A. O (2021): Leadership style and Decision Making As Determinants of Employee Commitment in Local Governments in Nigeria: *International Journal of Management Studies and Social Science Research (IJMSSSR)*, 3(4), 257-267 <https://www.ijmsssr.org/paper/IJMSSSR00418.pdf>
- [19] Adenugba, A. A, & Dagunduro, A.O. (2019). Collective Bargaining. In Okafor, E.E., Adetola, O.B, Aborisade, R. A. & Abosede, A. J (Eds.) (June, 2019). *Human Resources: Industrial Relations and Management Perspectives*. 89 – 104. ISBN 078-978-55747-2-2. (Nigeria)

- [20] Adenugba, A. A, Dagunduro, A. O & Akhutie, R. (2018): An Investigation into the Effects of Gender Gap in Family Roles in Nigeria: The Case of Ibadan City. *African Journal of Social Sciences (AJSS)*, 8(2), 37-47. <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1eQa16xEF58KTmY6-8x4X8HDhk-K-JF1M/view>
- [21] Adenugba, A. A, Excel, K. O & Dagunduro, A.O (2019): Gender Differences in the Perception and Handling of Occupational Stress Among Workers in Commercial Banks in IBADAN, Nigeria: *African Journal for the Psychological Studies of Social Issues (AJPSSI)*, 22(1), 133- 147. <https://ajpssi.org/index.php/ajpssi/article/view/371>
- [22] Adepoju, O. O., & Esan, O. (2023). Employee social well-being and remote working among ICT workers in Lagos State: Assessing the opportunities and threats. *Akunba Journal of Management*, 5(2), 91–102.
- [23] Adepoju, O. O., & Esan, O. (2023). Risk Management Practices And Workers Safety In University Of Medical Sciences Teaching Hospital, Ondo State Nigeria. *Open Journal of Management Science (ISSN: 2734-2107)*, 4(1), 1-12.
- [24] Adepoju, O., Akinyomi, O., & Esan, O. (2023). Integrating human-computer interactions in Nigerian energy system: A skills requirement analysis. *Journal of Digital Food, Energy & Water Systems*, 4(2).
- [25] Adepoju, O., Esan, O., & Akinyomi, O. (2022). Food security in Nigeria: enhancing workers' productivity in precision agriculture. *Journal of Digital Food, Energy & Water Systems*, 3(2).
- [26] Adland, R., Cariou, P., & Wolff, F. C. (2019). When energy efficiency is secondary: The case of Offshore Support Vessels. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 72, 114-126.
- [27] Agu, E.E, Abhulimen A.O, Obiki-Osafiele, A.N, Osundare O.S, Adeniran I.A & Efunniyi C.P. (2022): Artificial Intelligence in African Insurance: A review of risk management and fraud prevention. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, Volume 4, Issue 12, P.No.768-794, 2022.
- [28] Agu, E.E, Efunniyi C.P, Abhulimen A.O, Obiki-Osafiele, A.N, Osundare O.S, & Adeniran I.A. (2023): Regulatory frameworks and financial stability in Africa: A comparative review of banking and insurance sectors, *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, Volume 5, Issue 12, P.No. 444-459, 2023.
- [29] Agupugo, C. (2023). Design of A Renewable Energy Based Microgrid That Comprises of Only PV and Battery Storage to Sustain Critical Loads in Nigeria Air Force Base, Kaduna. *ResearchGate*.
- [30] Agupugo, C. P., & Tochukwu, M. F. C. (2021): A model to Assess the Economic Viability of Renewable Energy Microgrids: A Case Study of Imufu Nigeria.
- [31] Agupugo, C. P., Ajayi, A. O., Nwanevu, C., & Oladipo, S. S. (2022); *Advancements in Technology for Renewable Energy Microgrids*.
- [32] Agupugo, C. P., Ajayi, A. O., Nwanevu, C., & Oladipo, S. S. (2022): Policy and regulatory framework supporting renewable energy microgrids and energy storage systems.
- [33] Aniebonam, E.E., Chukwuba, K., Emeka, N. & Taylor, G. (2023). Transformational leadership and transactional leadership styles: systematic review of literature. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 9 (1): 07-15. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.8410953. <https://intjar.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/Intjar-V9-I1-02-pp-07-15.pdf>
- [34] Azubuko, C. F., Sanyaolu, T. O., Adeleke, A. G., Efunniyi, C. P., & Akwawa, L. A. (2023, December 30). Data migration strategies in mergers and acquisitions: A case study of the banking sector. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(3), 546–561
- [35] Azzola, J., Thiemann, K., & Gaucher, E. (2023). Integration of distributed acoustic sensing for real-time seismic monitoring of a geothermal field. *Geothermal Energy*, 11(1), 30.
- [36] Bassey, K. E. (2022). Enhanced Design and Development Simulation and Testing. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 3(2), 18-31.
- [37] Bassey, K. E. (2022). Optimizing Wind Farm Performance Using Machine Learning. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 3(2), 32-44.
- [38] Bassey, K. E. (2023). Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems Modeling. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(6), 571-588.
- [39] Bassey, K. E. (2023). Hydrokinetic Energy Devices: Studying Devices That Generate Power from Flowing Water Without Dams. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(2), 1-17.

- [40] Bassey, K. E. (2023). Solar Energy Forecasting with Deep Learning Technique. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(2), 18-32.
- [41] Bassey, K. E., & Ibegbulam, C. (2023). Machine Learning for Green Hydrogen Production. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(3), 368-385.
- [42] Beiranvand, B., & Rajaei, T. (2022). Application of artificial intelligence-based single and hybrid models in predicting seepage and pore water pressure of dams: A state-of-the-art review. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 173, 103268.
- [43] Bello, O. A., Folorunso, A., Ejiofor, O. E., Budale, F. Z., Adebayo, K., & Babatunde, O. A. (2023). Machine Learning Approaches for Enhancing Fraud Prevention in Financial Transactions. *International Journal of Management Technology*, 10(1), 85-108.
- [44] Bello, O. A., Folorunso, A., Ogundipe, A., Kazeem, O., Budale, A., Zainab, F., & Ejiofor, O. E. (2022). Enhancing Cyber Financial Fraud Detection Using Deep Learning Techniques: A Study on Neural Networks and Anomaly Detection. *International Journal of Network and Communication Research*, 7(1), 90-113.
- [45] Bello, O. A., Folorunso, A., Onwuchekwa, J., & Ejiofor, O. E. (2023). A Comprehensive Framework for Strengthening USA Financial Cybersecurity: Integrating Machine Learning and AI in Fraud Detection Systems. *European Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology*, 11(6), 62-83.
- [46] Bello, O. A., Folorunso, A., Onwuchekwa, J., Ejiofor, O. E., Budale, F. Z., & Ekwuonwu, M. N. (2023). Analysing the Impact of Advanced Analytics on Fraud Detection: A Machine Learning Perspective. *European Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology*, 11(6), 103-126.
- [47] Bello, O. A., Ogundipe, A., Mohammed, D., Adebola, F., & Alonge, O. A. (2023). AI-Driven Approaches for Real-Time Fraud Detection in US Financial Transactions: Challenges and Opportunities. *European Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology*, 11(6), 84-102.
- [48] Bristol-Alagbariya, B., Ayanponle, O. L., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2022). Integrative HR approaches in mergers and acquisitions ensuring seamless organizational synergies. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, 6(01), 078-085. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*.
- [49] Bristol-Alagbariya, B., Ayanponle, O. L., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2022). Strategic frameworks for contract management excellence in global energy HR operations. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, 11(03), 150-157. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*.
- [50] Bristol-Alagbariya, B., Ayanponle, O. L., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2022). Developing and implementing advanced performance management systems for enhanced organizational productivity. *World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 2(01), 039-046. *World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*.
- [51] Bristol-Alagbariya, B., Ayanponle, O. L., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2023). Utilization of HR analytics for strategic cost optimization and decision making. *International Journal of Scientific Research Updates*, 6(02), 062-069. *International Journal of Scientific Research Updates*.
- [52] Bristol-Alagbariya, B., Ayanponle, O. L., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2023). Human resources as a catalyst for corporate social responsibility: Developing and implementing effective CSR frameworks. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Updates*, 6(01), 017-024. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Updates*.
- [53] Bristol-Alagbariya, B., Ayanponle, O. L., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2023). Frameworks for enhancing safety compliance through HR policies in the oil and gas sector. *International Journal of Scholarly Research in Multidisciplinary Studies*, 3(02), 025-033. *International Journal of Scholarly Research in Multidisciplinary Studies*.
- [54] Crawford, T., Duong S., Fueston R., Lawani A., Owoade S., Uzoka A., Parizi R. M., & Yazdinejad A. (2023). AI in Software Engineering: A Survey on Project Management Applications. arXiv:2307.15224
- [55] Dagunduro A. O & Adenugba A. A (2020): Failure to Meet up to Expectation: Examining Women Activist Groups and Political Movements In Nigeria: De Gruyter; *Open Cultural Studies* 2020: 4, 23-35.
- [56] Efunniyi, C.P, Abhulimen A.O, Obiki-Osafiele, A.N, Osundare O.S, Adeniran I.A, & Agu E.E. (2022): Data analytics in African banking: A review of opportunities and challenges for enhancing financial services. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, Volume 4, Issue 12, P.No.748-767, 2022.3.

- [57] Elujide, I., Fashoto, S. G., Fashoto, B., Mbunge, E., Folorunso, S. O., & Olamijuwon, J. O. (2021). Application of deep and machine learning techniques for multi-label classification performance on psychotic disorder diseases. *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*, 23, 100545.
- [58] Elujide, I., Fashoto, S. G., Fashoto, B., Mbunge, E., Folorunso, S. O., & Olamijuwon, J. O. (2021): *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*.
- [59] Esan, O. (2023). Addressing Brain Drain in the Health Sector towards Sustainable National Development in Nigeria: Way Forward.
- [60] Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Iwuanyanwu, O., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2022). The role of passive design strategies in enhancing energy efficiency in green buildings. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, Volume 3, Issue 2, December 2022, No.71-91
- [61] Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Iwuanyanwu, O., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2023). Sustainable urban design: The role of green buildings in shaping resilient cities. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, Volume 5, Issue 10, December 2023, No. 674-692.
- [62] Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Iwuanyanwu, O., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2022). Life cycle assessment of green buildings: A comprehensive analysis of environmental impacts (pp. 729-747). Publisher. p. 730.
- [63] Govender, P., Fashoto, S. G., Maharaj, L., Adeleke, M. A., Mbunge, E., Olamijuwon, J., ... & Okpeku, M. (2022). The application of machine learning to predict genetic relatedness using human mtDNA hypervariable region I sequences. *Plos one*, 17(2), e0263790.
- [64] Iwuanyanwu, O., Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2022). The integration of renewable energy systems in green buildings: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Applied*
- [65] Matthews, V. O., Idaike, S. U., Noma-Osaghae, E., Okunoren, A., & Akwawa, L. (2018). Design and Construction of a Smart Wireless Access/Ignition Technique for Automobile. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)*, 6(8), 165-173.
- [66] Nasserddine, G., Nassereddine, M., & El Arid, A. A. (2023). Internet of things integration in renewable energy Systems. In *Handbook of Research on Applications of AI, Digital Twin, and Internet of Things for Sustainable Development* (pp. 159-185). IGI Global.
- [67] Ning, Y., Wang, L., Yu, X., & Li, J. (2023). Recent development in the decarbonization of marine and offshore engineering systems. *Ocean Engineering*, 280, 114883.
- [68] Odulaja, B. A., Ihemereze, K. C., Fakeyede, O. G., Abdul, A. A., Ogedengbe, D. E., & Daraojimba, C. (2023). Harnessing blockchain for sustainable procurement: opportunities and challenges. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(3), 158-184.
- [69] Ogbu, A. D., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Adeyinka, M. A., Ozowe, W., & Ikevuje, A. H. (2023). A conceptual procurement model for sustainability and climate change mitigation in the oil, gas, and energy sectors. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 20(3), 1935-1952.
- [70] Ogbu, A. D., Iwe, K. A., Ozowe, W., & Ikevuje, A. H. (2023): Sustainable Approaches to Pore Pressure Prediction in Environmentally Sensitive Areas.
- [71] Ogedengbe, D. E., James, O. O., Afolabi, J. O. A., Olatoye, F. O., & Eboigbe, E. O. (2023). Human resources in the era of the fourth industrial revolution (4ir): Strategies and innovations in the global south. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(5), 308-322.
- [72] Okeke, C.I, Agu E.E, Ejike O.G, Ewim C.P-M and Komolafe M.O. (2022): A regulatory model for standardizing financial advisory services in Nigeria. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology*, 2022, 01(02), 067-082.
- [73] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). Developing a regulatory model for product quality assurance in Nigeria's local industries. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies*, 1(02), 54-69.
- [74] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A service standardization model for Nigeria's healthcare system: Toward improved patient care. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies*, 1(2), 40-53.

- [75] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A model for wealth management through standardized financial advisory practices in Nigeria. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies*, 1(2), 27–39.
- [76] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A conceptual model for standardizing tax procedures in Nigeria's public and private sectors. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies*, 1(2), 14–26
- [77] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A conceptual framework for enhancing product standardization in Nigeria's manufacturing sector. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies*, 1(2), 1–13.
- [78] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). Modeling a national standardization policy for made-in-Nigeria products: Bridging the global competitiveness gap. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology*, 1(2), 98–109.
- [79] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A theoretical model for standardized taxation of Nigeria's informal sector: A pathway to compliance. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology*, 1(2), 83–97.
- [80] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A model for foreign direct investment (FDI) promotion through standardized tax policies in Nigeria. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology*, 1(2), 53–66.
- [81] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A regulatory model for standardizing financial advisory services in Nigeria. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology*, 1(2), 67–82.
- [82] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2023). A technological model for standardizing digital financial services in Nigeria. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*, 1(4), 57–073.
- [83] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2023). A policy model for regulating and standardizing financial advisory services in Nigeria's capital market. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*, 1(4), 40–56.
- [84] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2023). A digital taxation model for Nigeria: standardizing collection through technology integration. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*, 1(4), 18–39.
- [85] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2023). A conceptual model for standardized taxation of SMES in Nigeria: Addressing multiple taxation. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*, 1(4), 1–017.
- [86] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2023). A theoretical framework for standardized financial advisory services in pension management in Nigeria. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*, 1(3), 66–82.
- [87] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2023). A service delivery standardization framework for Nigeria's hospitality industry. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*, 1(3), 51–65.
- [88] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2023). A digital financial advisory standardization framework for client success in Nigeria. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*, 1(3), 18–32.
- [89] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2023). A conceptual model for Agro-based product standardization in Nigeria's agricultural sector. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*, 1(3), 1–17.
- [90] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2023). A theoretical model for harmonizing local and international product standards for Nigerian exports. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*, 1(4), 74–93.
- [91] Okeke, I.C., Agu E.E, Ejike O.G, Ewim C.P-M and Komolafe M.O. (2023): A framework for standardizing tax administration in Nigeria: Lessons from global practices. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*, 2023, 01(03), 033–050.

- [92] Okeke, I.C, Agu E.E, Ejike O.G, Ewim C.P-M and Komolafe M.O. (2022): A conceptual model for financial advisory standardization: Bridging the financial literacy gap in Nigeria. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology*, 2022, 01(02), 038–052
- [93] Okeleke, P. A., Ajiga, D., Folorunsho, S. O., & Ezeigweneme, C. (2023). Leveraging big data to inform strategic decision making in software development.
- [94] Orikipete, O. F., Ikemba, S., & Ewim, D. R. E. (2023). Integration of renewable energy technologies in smart building design for enhanced energy efficiency and self-sufficiency. *The Journal of Engineering and Exact Sciences*, 9(9), 16423-01e.
- [95] Ovwigho, E. M., Almomen, M. S., Corona, M., & Terrez, J. (2023, March). Well Integrity Challenges while Drilling in High Pressure and Narrow Window Environment: A Case Study of a Deep Gas Field in the Middle East. In *SPE Middle East Oil and Gas Show and Conference* (p. D021S051R003). SPE.
- [96] Oyedokun, O. O. (2019). Green human resource management practices and its effect on the sustainable competitive edge in the Nigerian manufacturing industry (Dangote) (Doctoral dissertation, Dublin Business School).
- [97] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L.A., Azubuko, C. F. (2023) AI-driven devops: Leveraging machine learning for automated software development and maintenance. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(6), pp. 728-740
- [98] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L.A., Azubuko, C. F. (2022). Ethical AI: Addressing bias in machine learning models and software applications. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 3(3), pp. 115-126
- [99] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L.A., Azubuko, C. F. (2023) Advancements in quantum computing and their implications for software development. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(3), pp. 577-593
- [100] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L.A., Azubuko, C. F. (2023) 5G technology and its impact on software engineering: New opportunities for mobile applications. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(3), pp. 562-576
- [101] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L.A., Azubuko, C. F. (2023) AI-driven devops: Leveraging machine learning for automated software development and maintenance. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(6), pp. 728-740
- [102] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L.A., Azubuko, C. F. (2022). Ethical AI: Addressing bias in machine learning models and software applications. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 3(3), pp. 115-126
- [103] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L.A., Azubuko, C. F. (2023) Advancements in quantum computing and their implications for software development. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(3), pp. 577-593
- [104] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L.A., Azubuko, C. F. (2023) 5G technology and its impact on software engineering: New opportunities for mobile applications. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(3), pp. 562-576
- [105] Oyeniran, O. C., Adewusi, A. O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L. A., & Azubuko, C. F. (2022): Ethical AI: Addressing bias in machine learning models and software applications.
- [106] Oyeniran, O. C., Adewusi, A. O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L. A., & Azubuko, C. F. (2023). AI-driven devops: Leveraging machine learning for automated software deployment and maintenance.
- [107] Oyindamola, A., & Esan, O. (2023). Systematic Review of Human Resource Management Demand in the Fourth Industrial Revolution Era: Implication of Upskilling, Reskilling and Deskillling. *Lead City Journal of the Social Sciences (LCJSS)*, 8(2), 88-114.
- [108] Popo-Olaniyan, O., James, O. O., Udeh, C. A., Daraojimba, R. E., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2022). Future-Proofing human resources in the US with AI: A review of trends and implications. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 4(12), 641-658.
- [109] Popo-Olaniyan, O., James, O. O., Udeh, C. A., Daraojimba, R. E., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2022). A review of us strategies for stem talent attraction and retention: challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 4(12), 588-606.

- [110] Popo-Olaniyan, O., James, O. O., Udeh, C. A., Daraojimba, R. E., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2022). Review of advancing US innovation through collaborative HR ecosystems: A sector-wide perspective. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 4(12), 623-640.
- [111] Prauzek, M., Kucova, T., Konecny, J., Adamikova, M., Gaiova, K., Mikus, M., ... & Koziorek, J. (2023). Iot sensor challenges for geothermal energy installations monitoring: a survey. *Sensors*, 23(12), 5577.
- [112] Pwavodi, J., Kelechi, I. N., Angalabiri, P., Emeremgini, S. C., & Oguadinma, V. O. (2023). Pore pressure prediction in offshore Niger delta using data-driven approach: Implications on drilling and reservoir quality. *Energy Geoscience*, 4(3), 100194.
- [113] Rane, N. (2023). Contribution of ChatGPT and other generative artificial intelligence (AI) in renewable and sustainable energy. Available at SSRN 4597674.
- [114] Sambo, C., Liu, N., Shaibu, R., Ahmed, A. A., & Hashish, R. G. (2023). A technical review of CO2 for enhanced oil recovery in unconventional oil reservoirs. *Geoenergy Science and Engineering*, 221, 111185.
- [115] Sanyaolu, T. O., Adeleke, A. G., Efunniyi, C. P., Akwawa, L. A., & Azubuko, C. F. (2023). Data migration strategies in mergers and acquisitions: A case study of banking sector. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal P-ISSN*, 2709-0043.
- [116] Sanyaolu, T. O., Adeleke, A. G., Efunniyi, C. P., Akwawa, L. A., & Azubuko, C. F. (2023). Stakeholder management in IT development projects: Balancing expectations and deliverables. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research P-ISSN*, 2664-3588.
- [117] Singh, H., Li, C., Cheng, P., Wang, X., Hao, G., & Liu, Q. (2023). Automated real-time formation evaluation from cuttings and drilling data analysis: State of the art. *Advances in Geo-Energy Research*, 8(1).
- [118] Taleghani, A. D., & Santos, L. (2023). *Wellbore integrity: from theory to practice*. Springer Nature.
- [119] Temizel, C., Aydin, H., Hosgor, F. B., Yegin, C., & Kabir, C. S. (2023). Green Energy Sources Reduce Carbon Footprint of Oil & Gas Industry Processes: A Review. *Journal of Energy and Power Technology*, 5(1), 1-25.
- [120] Tula, O. A., Adekoya, O. O., Isong, D., Daudu, C. D., Adefemi, A., & Okoli, C. E. (2004). Corporate advising strategies: A comprehensive review for aligning petroleum engineering with climate goals and CSR commitments in the United States and Africa. *Corporate Sustainable Management Journal*, 2(1), 32-38.
- [121] Waswa, A. M., Kedi, W. E., & Sula, N. (2015). Design and Implementation of a GSM based Fuel Leakage Monitoring System on Trucks in Transit. *Abstract of Emerging Trends in Scientific Research*, 3, 1-18.
- [122] Yasemi, S., Khalili, Y., Sanati, A., & Bagheri, M. (2023). Carbon capture and storage: Application in the oil and gas industry. *Sustainability*, 15(19), 14486.